

Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services

This Section Includes:

Public Safety & Judicial

- Controlled Substance (Page M.3)
- Corrections (Page M.4)
- District Attorney (Page M.13)
- District Attorney Forfeited Property (Page M.17)
- District Court Trustee (Page M.18)
- District Courts (Page M.21)
- Justice Information Management System (Page M.30)
- Law Library (Page M.36)
- Prosecutor Training & Assistance (Page M.39)
- Public Safety Sales Tax (Page M.40)
- Public Safety Sales Tax II (Page M.41)
- Sheriff (Page M.42)
- Sheriff Forfeited Property (Page M.49)
- Weapons Licensure (Page M.50)

Emergency Services

- 911 Fund (Page M.51)
- > 911 Telephone (Page M.52)
- > 911 Wireless Telephone (Page M.53)
- Emergency Management & Communications (Page M.54)
- ➤ Med-Act (Page M.64)

Fund: Controlled Substance Strategic Program:
Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services

Agency: Controlled Substance

Controlled Substance Pub	nic Salety, Ju	uiciai & Eillei	gency servi	LES	Control	ea Substanc
_	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$52,288	\$52,288	\$144,629	\$144,629	176.60%
Interest	\$901	\$1,153	\$801	\$843	\$843	5.24%
Total Other Agency Revenues	901	53,441	53,089	145,472	145,472	174.02%
a) Total Agency Revenues	901	53,441	53,089	145,472	145,472	174.02%
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$0	\$58,441	\$58,089	\$150,472	\$150,472	159.04%
Subtotal	0	58,441	58,089	150,472	150,472	159.04%
Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	0	58,441	58,089	150,472	150,472	159.04%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
b) Total Expenditures	0	58,441	58,089	150,472	150,472	159.04%
Difference: b) minus a)	901	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	(5,000)	0.00%
Tax Revenues						
Other Taxes	\$96,554	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	\$5,000	0.00%
Total Tax Revenues	96,554	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	0.00%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Agency Mission

To provide non-tax funds for the purchase of new law enforcement services and equipment.

Budget Highlights

Revenues from Controlled Substance can only be used for public safety purposes.

This fund does not receive any revenue from property taxes.

Fund: Strategic Program: Agency:
General Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Corrections

General Fund P	Public Safety, J		rgency Servic			Corrections
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$2,022,753	\$2,792,743	\$2,792,743	\$3,057,688	\$3,057,688	9.49%
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$2,022,753	\$2,792,743	\$2,792,743	\$3,057,688	\$3,057,688	9.49%
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$1,342,000	\$1,342,000	\$1,287,173	\$1,287,173	(4.09%)
Intergovernmental	\$4,455,928	\$5,790,450	\$5,923,012	\$6,112,936	\$6,112,936	3.21%
Miscellaneous	\$55,578	\$32,570	\$32,570	\$32,570	\$32,570	0.00%
Intrafund Transfers	\$6,963,766	\$7,071,062	\$7,071,062	\$7,487,127	\$7,487,127	5.88%
Interfund Transfers	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$2,500	0.00%
Total Other Agency Revenues	11,475,272	14,238,582	14,371,144	14,922,306	14,922,306	3.84%
a) Total Agency Revenues	13,498,025	17,031,325	17,163,887	17,979,994	17,979,994	4.75%
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$19,086,033	\$20,793,250	\$20,766,366	\$21,845,781	\$21,845,781	5.20%
Contractual Services	\$2,439,762	\$5,550,568	\$5,680,641	\$4,845,772	\$4,845,772	(14.70%)
Commodities	\$757,846	\$1,833,131	\$1,901,759	\$2,146,084	\$2,146,084	12.85%
Subtotal	22,283,641	28,176,949	28,348,766	28,837,637	28,837,637	1.72%
Miscellaneous	\$693	\$9,700	\$9,700	\$9,700	\$9,700	0.00%
Interfund Transfers	\$84,137	\$0	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,000	-
Intrafund Transfers	\$777,805	\$708,000	\$708,000	\$510,000	\$510,000	(27.97%)
Transfer to Equipment Reserve		\$193,004	\$193,004	\$326,450	\$326,450	69.14%
Transfer to Capital Projects	\$190,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	1,379,085	910,704	910,704	996,150	996,150	9.38%
Expenditures Subtotal	23,662,726	29,087,653	29,259,470	29,833,787	29,833,787	1.96%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$13,135	\$13,135	\$11,769	\$11,769	(10.40%)
Risk Management Charges	\$223,953	\$137,177	\$137,177	\$223,687	\$223,687	63.06%
Cost Allocation	\$3,710,912	\$3,576,452	\$3,576,452	\$3,818,076	\$3,818,076	6.76%
b) Total Expenditures	27,597,591	32,814,417	32,986,234	33,887,319	33,887,319	2.73%
Difference: b) minus a)	(14,099,566)	(15,783,092)	(15,822,347)	(15,907,325)	(15,907,325)	0.54%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	4.95	4.95	5.45	5.45	5.45	0.00%
Grant Funded FTEs	55.90	55.90	55.90	55.90	55.90	0.00%
Other FTEs	247.30	247.30	247.30	247.30	247.30	0.00%
Total FTE Positions	308.15	308.15	308.65	308.65	308.65	0.00%

Agency Mission

The Johnson County Department of Corrections, as part of the criminal justice system and County government, contributes to the public safety by exercising reasonable, safe, secure, and humane supervision of offenders through progressive, effective, and sound correctional services.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers, Vehicle Equivalent Units, Risk Management charges and cost allocation, are budgeted to increase by \$488,871 (1.72%) compared to FY 2015. This increase is due to the net effect of: 1) operational costs associated with the conversion of 33 beds at the Youth Family Services Center to a Youth Residential Center II, 2) \$6,720 in approved FY 2016 Requests for Additional Resources in a joint request with District Courts for Juvenile Violator Funds, and 3) the budgeted salary and benefits increases included in the budget parameters

FY 2016 Transfers to Equipment Reserve have been reinstated to \$326,450.

FTEs for FY 2016 remain constant at 308.65.

Agency Goals & Objectives	
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives	Associated PMs:
1) Increase the percentage of adult probation clients who are successfully discharged from Johnson County Department of Corrections programs.	
* Achieve a 75% rate of successful discharge among the department's adult felony probation population. In the alternative, improve the successful discharge rate by 3% in comparison to the previous year's outcome.	0
2) Utilize evidence based strategies to reduce the risk offenders present to the community.	
* Improve adult offender LSI-R ratings through the use of evidence-based strategies.	р
3) Provide a structured, supervised living environment for high-risk adult offenders as an alternative to incarceration in state and County detention facilities.	
* Increase the rate of successful discharge from the Adult Residential Center.	q
* Increase the average daily percentage of beds filled at the Adult Residential Center from those that would otherwise be detained in state and County detention facilities.	a,b,c,j
* Increase the rate of offender success once discharged from the Adult Residential Center.	r,s
4) Provide cost effective and productive community-based alternatives to incarceration for adult offenders.	
* Increase the percentage of adult offenders who successfully complete their required term of House Arrest.	t
* Ensure that the average Johnson County Ad Valorem tax supported probationer cost per day for the Adult Intensive Supervision remains below 10% of the average cost per day to incarcerate an offender in a Kansas Prison.	n
* Increase percentage of adult program costs recovered through client reimbursements.	k,l,m,n
5) Hold court ordered juveniles in a safe and secure detention setting and provide programs aimed at changing offender behavior.	
* Comply with all licensing regulations at the Juvenile Detention Center.* Ensure there are no escapes from the Juvenile Detention Center.	v u
Provide productive alternatives to incarceration for juvenile offenders. * Increase the number of juvenile offenders who successfully complete House Arrest.	w
7) Enhance community safety and promote behavioral change in juvenile offenders through effective case management.	
* Reduce recidivism among juveniles who are released from Intensive Supervision.	x
* Reduce recidivism among juveniles who are released from Juvenile Case Management.	у
8) Foster an engaged, highly motivated, competent and productive departmental workforce.	
* Reduce employee turnover.	z, aa,bb

Agency Key Performance Measures (PMs) Actual **Estimated Estimated** 2014 2015 2016 Output a) Average daily population in Adult Residential Center Program. 168 162 165 b) Average daily population in Adult Work Release Program. 55 57 53 c) Average daily population in Adult Therapeutic Community. 37 38 39 d) Average daily population for Adult Intensive Supervision. 590 602 595 e) Average daily population for Juvenile Intensive Supervision. 81 79 78 f) Average daily population for Juvenile Case Management. 70 69 67 g) Average daily population in Juvenile Detention Center. 31 30 30 h) Average daily population for Juvenile House Arrest. 51 47 49 i) Average daily population for Adult House Arrest. 229 235 240 **Efficiency/Cost Measures** j) Average daily % of beds filled at Adult Residential Facility.* 77% 75% 76% k) % of Adult Residential Probation program cost recovered 6.2% 6.3% 6.4% through client reimbursements. I) % of Adult Work Release program cost recovered through 20.2% 21% 22% client reimbursements. m) % of Adult House Arrest program cost recovered through 55% 55% 56% client reimbursements. n) Expressed as a %, the average Johnson County Ad Valorem \$3.91/\$69.85 \$3.91/\$69.85 \$3.91/\$69.85 tax supported probationer cost per day in the Adult Intensive 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% Supervision Program compared to the average inmate cost per day in a Kansas prison.** **Effectiveness Measures** o) % of discharged adult community corrections clients 73.1% 71% 75% successfully released from supervision.*** p) % of discharged adult offenders with improvement in their 60% 61% 62% total LSI-R ratings at discharge reassessment. g) % of offenders at the Adult Residential Center who are 71% 72% 73% successfully discharged. (All Programs) r) % of offenders who are successfully released from the Adult 15.4% 14% 13% Residential Center and are charged with a new offense in Johnson County District Court within 12 months of release.

Agency Key Performance Mea	sures (PMs)		
Effectiveness Measures (con't)	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
s) % of Therapeutic Community participants who are successfully released from the program and are charged with a new offense in Johnson County District Court within 12 months of release.	14.3%	13%	13.2%
 t) % of adult offenders who successfully complete their required terms of House Arrest. 	89%	89%	90%
u) # of escapes from the Juvenile Detention Center.	0	0	0
v) # of licensing violations cited at the Juvenile Detention Center.	7	6	6
w) % of juvenile offenders who successfully complete House Arrest.	87%	88%	89%
x) % of juvenile offenders who are successfully released from Intensive Supervision and are charged with a new offense in Johnson County District Court within 12 months. ****	20%	20%	19%
y) % of juvenile offenders who are successfully released from the Case Management Unit and are charged with a new offense in Johnson County District Court within 12 months. *****	43%	35%	33%
z) Employee turnover rate. (all)	21%	20%	19%
aa) Voluntary employee turnover rate.	16%	16%	15%
bb) % of Department of Corrections workforce indicating a high degree of engagement (per the County's DDI Employee Engagement Survey).	N/A	64.8%	N/A
* Percentage of facility currently in use for the housing of clients.			
** The average daily cost for Kansas prisons is based upon the State fiscal year ending June 30th, 2014.			
*** Calculation for the State Fiscal Year 2014. Indicates all discharges not resulting in probation revocation and incarceration in a Kansas prison as defined in KSA 75-52,112.			
**** Juvenile status offenses (truancies, runaways) are not counted among new offenses.			
***** The next Employee Engagement Survey will take place during 2015.			

Major Services											
Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change						
11 2014	1 1 2013	1 1 2013	1 1 2010	1 1 2010	/oonange						

Service #1: Adult Residential Center

The Adult Residential Center provides a structured, supervised living environment for high-risk adult offenders as a cost-effective alternative to incarceration in state prison and County detention facilities. The program allows offenders to maintain employment in the community so that they can pay towards their debts while developing good work habits. Educational and treatment programs are offered to the offenders during their stays. The offenders pay income taxes and are required to pay per diem to the County to help offset the costs of the program.

Agency Revenues	\$ 4,930,947	\$ 6,136,869	\$ 6,169,431 \$	6,327,353	\$ 6,327,353		2.50%
Expenditures	6,519,640	7,898,091	7,894,016	8,085,147	8,085,147		2.36%
Difference	\$ (1,588,693)	\$ (1,761,222)	\$ (1,724,585) \$	(1,757,794)	\$ (1,757,794)	_	1.89%
FTE Positions	88.99	88.99	88.28	88.28	88.28		0.00%

Service #2: Juvenile Detention Center

The Juvenile Detention Center maintains a safe and secure setting for youth who have been apprehended by law enforcement and need to be detained pending further Court action for criminal offenses and for Children in Need of Care who are awaiting more appropriate placements. Various educational and treatment programs are offered to youth during their stays in detention.

Agency Revenu	es \$	3,756,064	5,065,040	\$ 4,145,240 \$	4,648,074	\$ 4,648,074	10.82%
Expenditures		7,662,716	9,540,101	6,103,128	6,247,408	6,247,408	2.31%
Difference	\$	(3,906,652)	(4,475,061)	\$ (1,957,888) \$	(1,599,334)	\$ (1,599,334)	-22.42%
FTE Positions		102.82	102.82	62.81	62.81	62.81	0.00%

Service #3: Adult Intensive Supervision

The Adult Intensive Supervision Program provides monitoring of adult felony offenders placed under supervision by the Court as a cost-effective alternative to incarceration. It allows the offenders to remain in the community with their families and maintain employment to pay towards their debts. The reduced caseload size (as compared to the District's Court Services probation) allows Intensive Supervision Officers to effectively address the offenders' criminogenic needs. The County is required to perform this function by state law.

Agency Revenues	\$ 1,563,284 \$	2,000,492 \$	2,100,492 \$	2,144,202 \$	2,144,202	2.04%
Expenditures	2,103,404	2,720,752	2,705,153	2,890,145	2,890,145	6.40%
Difference	\$ (540,120) \$	(720,260) \$	(604,661) \$	(745,943) \$	(745,943)	18.94%
FTE Positions	30.06	30.06	29.66	29.66	29.66	0.00%

Service #4: Juvenile Intensive Supervision

The Juvenile Intensive Supervision Program provides monitoring and program services to high-risk, high-need youth adjudicated for felony and misdemeanor offenses. Some youth who have been discharged from state correctional facilities under conditional release are also supervised by this program. This is a cost-effective alternative to incarceration in the County's juvenile detention center and in state juvenile correctional facilities. The County is required to perform this function by state law.

Agency Revenues	\$ 465,601 \$	549,235 \$	549,235 \$	565,559 \$	565,559	2.89%
Expenditures	578,420	745,099	674,335	699,341	699,341	3.58%
Difference	\$ (112,819) \$	(195,864) \$	(125,100) \$	(133,782) \$	(133,782)	6.49%
FTE Positions	8.32	8.32	7.35	7.35	7.35	0.00%

Major Services												
		Actual	Budget	Estimated	R	equested		Budget	2015-2016			
		FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015		FY 2016		FY 2016	%Change			
Service #5: Juven	ile C	ase Managem	ent									
The Juvenile Case Juvenile Justice Au also facilitate place to perform this func	thori men	ty. Case Mana ts into and supe	gers supervise	e youth who a	e in t	ransition b	ack i	nto the com	munity. Staff			
Agency Revenues	\$	375,328 \$	450,051 \$	450,051	\$	463,667	\$	463,667	2.94%			
Expenditures		580,207	677,451	680,690		705,102		705,102	3.46%			
Difference	\$	(204,879) \$	(227,400) \$	(230,639)	\$	(241,435)	\$	(241,435)	4.47%			
FTE Positions		7.22	7.22	7.25		7.25		7.25	0.00%			

Service #6: Juvenile Intake and Assessment

The Johnson County Juvenile Intake and Assessment Center provides assessment services to youth who have been arrested or have otherwise been in contact with Johnson County law enforcement officials. Staff assess the risk and needs of each youth presented to them, make immediate decisions regarding appropriate placement of the youth, and make referrals to community programs for the needs of the juveniles and their families. These services will be performed by Corrections, Court Services, and through various contracts for specific services. The County is required to perform this function by state law.

Agency Revenues	\$ 808,413 \$	875,500 \$	875,500 \$	925,000 \$	925,000	5.35%
Expenditures	1,420,581	1,530,500	1,592,059	1,680,225	1,680,225	5.25%
Difference	\$ (612,168) \$	(655,000) \$	(716,559) \$	(755,225) \$	(755,225)	5.12%
FTE Positions	13.92	13.92	14.45	14.45	14.45	0.00%

Service #7: Therapeutic Community

The Therapeutic Community is a long-term (6-month) substance abuse treatment program designed to treat offenders who have histories of multiple failed attempts at substance abuse treatment and multiple arrests for substance abuse related offenses. This program helps offenders build the skills and attitudes necessary to maintain lifestyles free of drugs and crimes. This program is a last resort for each offender prior to incarceration in a state correctional facility. It allows them to remain near their families as they work on their personal and familial needs.

Agency Revenues	\$ 329,613 \$	278,650	\$ 278,650 \$	278,650 \$	278,650	0.00%
Expenditures	1,322,098	1,590,413	1,572,361	1,637,304	1,637,304	3.97%
Difference	\$ (992,485) \$	(1,311,763)	\$ (1,293,711) \$	(1,358,654)	(1,358,654)	4.78%
FTE Positions	18.54	18.54	18.59	18.59	18.59	0.00%

Service #8: Adult House Arrest

The Adult House Arrest Program serves as a highly-structured enhancement to community-based supervision and a low-cost alternative to incarceration. Offenders under the supervision of this program are permitted restricted movement within the community to maintain employment and attend school. The offenders are required to pay towards the cost of this supervision.

Agency Revenues	\$ 739,321 \$	980,953 \$	980,953 \$	1,026,795 \$	1,026,795	4.46%
Expenditures	1,116,245	1,458,397	1,576,571	1,647,829	1,647,829	4.32%
Difference	\$ (376,924) \$	(477,444) \$	(595,618) \$	(621,034) \$	(621,034)	4.09%
FTE Positions	9.52	9.52	10.55	10.55	10.55	0.00%

Major Services											
Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015-2016						
FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	%Change						
Service #9: Juvenile House Arrest											

The Juvenile House Arrest Program provides a highly-structured enhancement to community-based supervision and a low-cost alternative to detention. The program restricts the movements of the offenders assigned while allowing the juveniles to remain at home and participate in school and other pro-social activities.

Agency Revenues	\$ 81,412 \$	125,234 \$	125,234 \$	140,234 \$	140,234	10.70%
Expenditures	425,319	574,743	581,187	614,415	614,415	5.41%
Difference	\$ (343,907) \$	(449,509) \$	(455,953) \$	(474,181) \$	(474,181)	3.84%
FTE Positions	4.84	4.84	5	5	5	0.00%

Service #10: Work Release

This is a program that was implemented in October 2007 to serve as an alternative to incarceration for the target population of 4th time DUI offenders. Inmates sentenced to work release placement must maintain full-time employment in the community while paying towards their debts. The inmates pay income taxes and are required to pay per diem to the County to help offset the costs of the program. In late 2009, the program also began accepting state work release inmates through an agreement with the Kansas Department of Corrections. In 2010, state law was changed to allow all misdemeanants to participate, not just DUI.

Agency Revenues	\$ 333,517 \$	390,681	\$ 390,681	\$ 340,741	\$ 340,741	-14.66%
Expenditures	1,490,798	1,802,251	1,740,791	1,802,929	1,802,929	3.45%
Difference	\$ (1,157,281) \$	(1,411,570)	\$ (1,350,110)	\$ (1,462,188)	\$ (1,462,188)	7.67%
FTE Positions	19.15	19.15	18.22	18.22	18.22	0.00%

Service #11: Assessment for Bond Supervision

This program provides assessment services to the Court to determine the risk of alleged adult criminal offenders to the community prior to being placed under Bond Supervision. The actual supervision is conducted by the District's Court Services following appropriate assessment and placement.

Agency Revenues	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 0	0.00%
Expenditures	186,721	218,099	219,119	226,687	226,687	3.34%
Difference	\$ (186,721) \$	(218,099)	\$ (219,119) \$	(226,687)	\$ (226,687)	3.34%
FTE Positions	2.65	2.65	2.66	2.66	2.66	0.00%

Service #12: Probation Intake Program

This program provides initial intake services for Community Corrections Adult Intensive Supervision, the District Court's Probation Services, and Work Release. Staff provide intake instructions to offenders and also conduct urinalyses for the Court. This program is located within the District's Courthouse as the initial stop for offenders to set them on the right track for supervision services. The program also assists the Court and attorneys with their questions about processes and services.

Agency Revenues	\$ 4,189 \$	8,670 \$	8,670 \$	8,670 \$	8,670	0.00%
Expenditures	146,241	161,806	162,917	168,031	168,031	3.04%
Difference	\$ (142,052) \$	(153,136) \$	(154,247) \$	(159,361) \$	(159,361)	3.21%
FTE Positions	2.12	2.12	2.13	2.13	2.13	0.00%

Major Services												
	Actual Budget Followeted Benevated Budget 0045 0040											
Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015-2016							
FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	%Change							
Service #13: Juvenile Prevention Grants												

The Kansas Department of Corrections, Juvenile Services Division, provides funding to the County each year specifically for the purpose of providing programs that will prevent youth from becoming involved in the criminal justice system. Based upon a survey of needs, the Juvenile Corrections Advisory Board makes recommendations to the Board of Commissioners as to how the funds will be utilized in Johnson County.

Agency Revenues	\$ 110,336 \$	169,950	\$ 169,950 \$	175,049	175,049	2.91%
Expenditures	110,336	169,950	169,950	175,049	175,049	2.91%
Difference	\$ 0 \$	0	\$ 0 \$	0 \$	5 0	0.00%
FTE Positions	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

Service #14: Foundations

Foundations is a Youth Residential Center II (YRCII). It is licensed for a capacity of 30 beds, to house juveniles between the ages of 14-19 that have been court ordered into the custody of the Kansas Department of Corrections. The main objective of Foundations is to reintegrate clients into the community as successful, productive citizens. This is accomplished by the following goals: a) Providing an environment that will enhance the client's ability to achieve a higher level of functioning; b) Avoiding future placement in a more highly structured facility; c) Improving and teaching the clients decision making, coping skills, social skills, and; d) Addressing any underlying problems which are affecting the client in order to transition successfully back into their family or community.

Agency Revenues	\$ 0 \$	0 \$	919,800 \$	936,000 \$	936,000	1.73%
Expenditures	0	0	3,587,193	3,254,175	3,254,175	-10.23%
Difference	\$ 0 \$	0 \$	(2,667,393) \$	(2,318,175) \$	(2,318,175)	-15.06%
FTE Positions	0	0	41.7	41.7	41.7	0.00%

Corrections Requests for Additional Resources											
		equested TY 2016	Budget FY 2016		equested FY 2017	Projected FY 2017					
Request #1: Juvenile Violator I	unds		Priority:	1 M aj	or Service:	Juvenile Intensive Supervision					
Current trends show that some of financial assistance to help offset indicate that for technical/non-law inability of the probationer to pay required treatment and evaluation Juvenile Detention Alternatives In strives to reduce the detention po financial assistance to help techni probation revocation. This will, in contribute to their success. This is District Courts portion is \$15,000.	the cost of of violation profor probations. In additionitiative (JDA pulation and cal violators turn, reduces a joint requ	completing supervobation violators, an related requirement, transportation of the utilize detention of the comply with concept the number of pruest with District Completed.	ision successful primary factor a primary factor ents such as urest a barrier for range E. Case only for high ristitions of probation violator ourts. The Coronal primary for the Coronal primary for the Coronal primary for the Coronal primary factor for the Coronal primary factor fa	rlly. Juv r for pro rinalysis many ca y Found k, violer k, violer ris place rections	venile Probation revoca testing, hous ausing failures dation, Johnson offenders. I reduce the need in detention	on Officers tion is the e arrest and to report. As a on County Providing eed to pursue and further					
Agency Revenues	This reque	o \$	е 2016 Budget 0	\$	0 \$	C					
Expenditures		6,720	6,720	<u> </u>	6,720	6,720					
Difference	\$	(6,720) \$	(6,720)	\$	(6,720) \$	(6,720					
Full-time Equivalent Positions		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00					

General Fund	Pub	olic Safety, Ju	ıdicial & Eme	rgency Servi	ces Dis	strict Attorney
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
	112017	1 1 2013	1 1 2013	1 1 2010	11 2010	70 Orlange
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$630,912	\$502,500	\$502,500	\$502,500	\$502,500	0.00%
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$630,912	\$502,500	\$502,500	\$502,500	\$502,500	0.00%
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$113,397	\$113,397	\$113,397	\$113,397	0.00%
Intergovernmental	\$77,960	\$49,220	\$49,220	\$51,494	\$51,494	4.62%
Miscellaneous	\$34,620	\$79,600	\$79,600	\$79,600	\$79,600	0.00%
Intrafund Transfers	\$7,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Other Agency Revenues	120,119	242,217	242,217	244,491	244,491	0.94%
a) Total Agency Revenues	751,031	744,717	744,717	746,991	746,991	0.31%
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$6,777,820	\$7,113,103	\$7,054,240	\$7,429,461	\$7,429,461	5.32%
Contractual Services	\$330,120	\$457,461	\$461,268	\$491,228	\$491,228	6.50%
Commodities	\$124,169	\$115,691	\$111,951	\$112,783	\$112,783	0.74%
Subtotal	7,232,109	7,686,255	7,627,459	8,033,472	8,033,472	5.32%
Miscellaneous	\$2,203	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Intrafund Transfers	\$7,539	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Transfer to Equipment Reserve	\$47,000	\$47,000	\$47,000	\$47,000	\$47,000	0.00%
Subtotal	56,742	47,000	47,000	47,000	47,000	0.00%
Expenditures Subtotal	7,288,851	7,733,255	7,674,459	8,080,472	8,080,472	5.29%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$657	\$657	\$547	\$547	-16.74%
Risk Management Charges	\$15,553	\$11,195	\$11,195	\$18,079	\$18,079	61.49%
Cost Allocation	\$958,758	\$933,409	\$933,409	\$983,725	\$983,725	5.39%
b) Total Expenditures	8,263,162	8,678,516	8,619,720	9,082,823	9,082,823	5.37%
Difference: b) minus a)	(7,512,131)	(7,933,799)	(7,875,003)	(8,335,832)	(8,335,832)	5.85%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.00%
Other FTEs	91.71	91.71	91.71	91.71	91.71	0.00%
Total FTE Positions	92.46	92.46	92.46	92.46	92.46	0.00%

Agency Mission

The District Attorney's Office seeks to protect the public safety, preserve the interests of justice and provide a voice for victims' rights. The department achieves this by implementing the following values: 1) maintain a high level of personal integrity and professionalism; 2) cooperate with the public and outside agencies in a supportive manner; 3) determine the appropriate disposition for each individual on a case by case basis; 4) strive to maintain an efficient, yet good-natured work environment; and 5) treat others with fairness and sensitivity.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers, Risk Management charges and cost allocation, are budgeted to increase by \$406,013 (5.32%) compared to FY 2015. This increase is due to 1) \$50,000 on-going increase to fund the Assistant District Attorney (ADA) Career Track Program, 2) \$30,000 on-going increase to address contractual increases related to the Medical Evidence Collection, and 3) the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

Transfers to Equipment Reserve remain constant at \$47,000.

FTEs for FY 2016 remain constant at 92.46.

Agency: District Attorney

Agency Goals & Objectives							
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives	Associated PMs:						
1) Protect the safety of the public.	а						
*File criminal actions against offenders where probable cause exists.							
*Vigorously prosecute criminal case filings through to conviction.							
2) Advocate for victims' rights.	b						
*Provide victim notification of charges filed and scheduled court dates.							
*Provide satisfactory victim services to victims in all crime categories.							
3) Cooperate with partner agencies.	С						
*Review all case referrals for prosecutorial action or further investigation as needed.							
*Provide law enforcement officer training to enhance officer effectiveness and efficiency.							
4) Responsibly manage public funds.	d						
*Generate revenue where appropriate through collection of service and other fees.							
*Allow for alternatives to incarceration where appropriate.							

Agency Key Performance Measures (PMs)

Output	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
a) # of criminal, domestic violence, and juvenile offender cases filed.	5,919	6,000	6,050
b) # of victim notification letters mailed.	31,789	32,500	33,000
# of criminal, domestic violence, and juvenile offender reports reviewed.	8,480	8,500	8,750
d) Total agency general fund revenue.	\$633,514	\$625,000	\$625,000
Efficiency/Cost Measures			
a) # of cases filed per prosecutor, excluding traffic infractions. b) Annual personnel savings of victim support	229	230	235
services donated through volunteer recruitment and retention.	\$106,932	\$105,000	\$110,000
 c) Cost of otherwise cost prohibitive legal training hours provided to law enforcement cadets. d) % of juvenile offender reports offered pre-file 	\$11,751	\$12,000	\$12,000
alternatives to entering the Juvenile Justice System.	12%	12%	12%
Effectiveness Measures			
a) % of cases resulting in conviction at jury trial.	72%	72%	72%
% of victim surveys reflecting (very) good service received.	85%	80%	80%
 % of cases reviewed referred for further investigation. 	5.6%	6%	6%
d) % of filed criminal or domestic violence cases granted diversion.	12%	12%	12%

Agency: District Attorney

District Attorney Major Services											
	_	Actual FY 2014	_	Budget FY 2015	_	Estimated FY 2015	_	Requested FY 2016	-	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change
Service #1: Prosecu	ıtion										
Protect the safety of to					ent	and prosecu	ıtioı	n of criminal,	dor	nestic violence,	juvenile
Agency Revenues	\$	645,106	\$	505,600	\$	505,600	\$	505,600	\$	505,600	0.00%
Expenditures	_	6,666,499	_	6,808,695	_	6,761,739	_	7,085,334	_	7,085,334	4.57%
Difference	\$	(6,021,393)	\$	(6,303,095)	\$	(6,256,139)	\$	(6,579,734)	\$	(6,579,734)	4.92%
TE Positions		81.25		81.25		81.25		81.25		81.25	0.00%
Service #2: Victim A			o vi	ctims and wi	tne	sses of crime	000	curring in Joh	ner	on County	
•								-			0.700/
Agency Revenues	\$	41,948	Ъ	77,875	Þ	77,875	\$	80,103	\$	80,103	2.78%
Expenditures		373,906	_	548,018	_	544,358	_	567,616	_	567,616	4.10%
Difference FTE Positions	\$	(331,958) 8.98	\$	(470,143) 8.98	\$	(466,483) 8.98	\$	(487,513) 8.98	\$	(487,513) 8.98	4.31% 0.00%
Service #3: Econom			ne :	and/or consu	me	r fraud.					
Agency Revenues	\$	63,977	\$	161,242	\$	161,242	\$	161,288	\$	161,288	0.03%
Expenditures	•	81,365	Ť	161,297		161,557	•	162,389	•	162,389	0.51%
Difference	\$	(17,388)	\$	(55)	\$	(315)	\$	(1,101)	\$	(1,101)	71.39%
TE Positions	Ť	0.00	•	0.00	•	0.00	*	0.00	*	0.00	0.00%
Service #4: Adminis			to t	he District At	tor	ney's Office.					
Agency Revenues	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	0.00%
Expenditures		167,081		215,245		206,805		265,133		265,133	22.00%
Difference	\$	(167,081)	\$	(215,245)	\$	(206,805)	\$	(265,133)	\$	(265,133)	22.00%
TE Positions	•	2.23		2.23		2.23		2.23		2.23	0.00%

Agency: District Attorney

	Regi	District Attor uests for Addition				
	R	equested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016		equested FY 2017	Projected FY 2017
Request #1: ADA Career In 2012 the District Attorney	•		•	•		Prosecution
Part of the reclassification pannual performance evalua documentation as well as a be eligible for promotion to five attorneys, four from AD more attorneys, three from process can continue and is Program reclassifications/process.	process was the tion process As presentation to the next career IA I to ADA II ar ADA I to ADA I s requesting an	e implementation of ssistant District Atto the District Attorned track level. At the and one from ADA II I, and one from ADA on-going line item	the "Career Tr rney's submit a ey explaining w end of 2013 th to ADA III. At t A II to ADA III. increase of \$50	ack" for an applic hat crite e Distric he end o DAT wo 0,000 to	the attorneys. cation with supperia they have not Attorney's official 2014 DAT prould like to make cover the ADA	During the corting net in order to ice promoted omoted four to sure this Career Trace
Agency Revenues Expenditures Difference	\$ \$	0 \$ 50,000 (50,000) \$	0 50,000 (50,000)	\$ \$	0 \$ 50,000 (50,000) \$	50,000 (50,000
Full-time Equivalent Position	ıs	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Request #2: Medical Evic	dence Collection	on Billing	Priority:	2 Ma j	or Service:	Prosecution
Pursuant to K.S.A. 65-448, result of an alleged sexual a doctors and nurses. Unfort District Attorney's office has exceed its line item budget they stated that the number the number completed in 20 \$30,000 to adequately fund request has been placed in	assault committunately, the nuise continued to it for medical exprof sexual assault if not more.	ted in Johnson Coumber of sexual assancease. This incresenses by \$33,406 in the Office of the Iligation to satisfy se	nty that relates ault hospital bilease caused the in 2014. In rection exams con District Attorner exual assault events in the interest of the	to the color to th	collection of evi- yed by the John of District Attor versations with in 2015 is alonguesting an incre	dence by ison County rney to MOCSA g the lines of ease of
result of an alleged sexual a doctors and nurses. Unfort District Attorney's office has exceed its line item budget they stated that the number the number completed in 20 \$30,000 to adequately fund	assault committunately, the nuise continued to it for medical exprof sexual assault if not more.	ted in Johnson Coumber of sexual assancease. This incresenses by \$33,406 in the Office of the Iligation to satisfy se	nty that relates ault hospital bilease caused the in 2014. In rection exams con District Attorner exual assault events in the interest of the	to the color to th	collection of evi- yed by the John of District Attor versations with in 2015 is alonguesting an incre	dence by ison County rney to MOCSA g the lines of ease of

Fund: District Attorney Strategic Program: Agency: District Attorney
Forfeited Property Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Forfeited Property

orfeited Property Fund Pul	blic Safety, Ju		gency Service			eited Prope
_	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Use of Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u> </u>
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Miscellaneous	\$35,371	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$25,000	0.00%
Interest	\$793	\$1,156	\$705	\$742	\$742	5.25%
Total Other Agency Revenues	36,164	26,156	25,705	25,742	25,742	0.14%
a) Total Agency Revenues	36,164	26,156	25,705	25,742	25,742	0.14%
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$1,000	\$14,156	\$13,705	\$13,742	\$13,742	0.27%
Commodities	\$0	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	\$12,000	0.00%
Subtotal	1,000	26,156	25,705	25,742	25,742	0.14%
Interfund Transfers	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	1,000	26,156	25,705	25,742	25,742	0.14%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
b) Total Expenditures	1,000	26,156	25,705	25,742	25,742	0.14%
Difference: b) minus a)	35,164	0	0	0	0	
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00				0.00	

Agency Mission

To provide funds received from forfeiture of assets in drug related cases for training purposes and contributions to non-profit agencies, which deal in public safety and crime prevention issues.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016 are budgeted at \$25,742. This fund does not receive any revenue from property taxes.

	Budget	2015 - 2016
	Y 2016	% Change
Agency Revenues		
Charges for Service \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	
Total Agency Fees & Charges \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	-
Miscellaneous \$1,325,815 \$2,286,923 \$2,240,870 \$2,340,562 \$2	,340,562	4.45%
Total Other Agency Revenues 1,325,815 2,286,923 2,240,870 2,340,562 2,	,340,562	4.45%
a) Total Agency Revenues 1,325,815 2,286,923 2,240,870 2,340,562 2	,340,562	4.45%
Expenditures		
Personnel \$1,191,437 \$1,976,651 \$1,930,598 \$2,030,290 \$2	,030,290	5.16%
Contractual Services \$39,728 \$172,272 \$172,272 \$172,422 \$	\$172,422	0.09%
Commodities \$11,590 \$53,000 \$53,000 \$52,850	\$52,850	(0.28%)
Subtotal 1,242,755 2,201,923 2,155,870 2,255,562 2,	,255,562	4.62%
Miscellaneous\$210	\$0	
Subtotal 210 0 0 0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal 1,242,965 2,201,923 2,155,870 2,255,562 2	,255,562	4.62%
Vehicle Equivalent Units \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0	-
Risk Management Charges \$8,835 \$2,863 \$2,863 \$3,703	\$3,703	29.34%
Cost Allocation \$522,642 \$835,852 \$835,852 \$491,363	\$491,363	(41.21%)
b) Total Expenditures 1,774,442 3,040,638 2,994,585 2,750,628 2	,750,628	(8.15%)
Difference: b) minus a) (448,627) (753,715) (753,715) (410,066)	(410,066)	(45.59%)
(440,021) (100,110) (100,110) (410,000)	(+10,000)	(45.5570)
FTE Positions		
Fee Funded FTEs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs 29.50 29.50 29.50 29.50	29.50	0.00%
Total FTE Positions 29.50 29.50 29.50 29.50	29.50	0.00%

Agency Mission

The Office of the District Court Trustee was established in 1972, and is responsible for enforcement of all Johnson County support orders as well as any other court orders referred by another court. The Trustee is empowered to pursue all civil remedies in establishing and enforcing the payment of support. The Office of the District Court Trustee accounts for all support payments as ordered by the court.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers and Risk Management charges, are budgeted to increase by \$99,692 (4.62%) compared to FY 2015. The increase is due to the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

FTEs remain constant at 29.50.

Agency: District Court Trustee

passing through the Kansas Payment Center and reconcile with Dissystem. 2) Enforce through all available civil means, all support orders through Provide timely and efficient court hearings, and provide assistance the use of pro se legal forms. 3) Maintain and seek to improve current levels of public service through professional development and public awareness. 4) Ensure continued compliance with Federal and State laws, statutes Agency Key Performance Me Output a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters.	strict Court True h Johnson Cou to the public th gh technology, s and regulatio	ustee unty. hrough uns.	Associated PMs: a b c d Estimated 2016
passing through the Kansas Payment Center and reconcile with Dissystem. 2) Enforce through all available civil means, all support orders through Provide timely and efficient court hearings, and provide assistance the use of pro se legal forms. 3) Maintain and seek to improve current levels of public service through professional development and public awareness. 4) Ensure continued compliance with Federal and State laws, statutes Agency Key Performance Me Output a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters.	strict Court Tru h Johnson Cou to the public th gh technology, s and regulatio easures (PMs Actual 2014	unty. chrough ins. Estimated 2015	b c d
Provide timely and efficient court hearings, and provide assistance the use of pro se legal forms. Maintain and seek to improve current levels of public service through professional development and public awareness. Ensure continued compliance with Federal and State laws, statutes Agency Key Performance Me Output a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters.	to the public the gh technology, and regulation easures (PMs) Actual 2014	ens. Estimated 2015	c d Estimated
Agency Key Performance Me Dutput a) Length of time to process payments and update records. Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters.	easures (PM: Actual 2014	s) Estimated 2015	d Estimated
Agency Key Performance Me Output a) Length of time to process payments and update records. C) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters.	easures (PMs Actual 2014	Estimated	Estimated
Dutput a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters. 4	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	
a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters. 4	2014	2015	
 Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or contempt matters. 	24 hours	24 hours	
contempt matters. 4		24 Hours	24 hours
•	to 6 weeks	4 to 6 weeks	4 to 6 weeks
	to 30 days	1 to 30 days	1 to 30 days
d) Length of time to process case documents. 24	to 48 hours	24 to 48 hours	24 to 48 hours
Efficiency/Cost Measures a) Length of time to process payments and update records. b) Length of time to wait for court hearings on private motions or	24 hours	24 hours	24 hours
	0 to 60 days	30 to 60 days	30 to 60 days
c) Length of time between implementation and completion.	to 30 days to 48 hours	1 to 30 days 24 to 48 hours	1 to 30 days 24 to 48 hours
Effectiveness Measures			
a) Review and reconcile DCT records with Kansas Payment	100%	100%	100%
Review and reconcile DCT records with Kansas Payment Center.	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
b) Monitor Court review hearing dockets.	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%
Review and reconcile DCT records with Kansas Payment Center.			

Agency: District Court Trustee

					IVIA	<u>jor Services</u>					
	_	Actual FY 2014	_	Budget FY 2015	_	Estimated FY 2015	_	Requested FY 2016	_	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change
Service #1: Sup	port	Enforceme	ent								
Record and according Payment Center							t. Mo	onitor payment	s pas	sing throug	h the Kansas
Agency	\$	715,432	\$	1,204,545	\$	1,181,370	\$	1,231,896	\$	1,231,896	4.10%
Expenditures		631,063		1,119,545		1,096,370		1,146,896		1,146,896	4.41%
Difference	\$	84,369	\$	85,000	\$	85,000	\$	85,000	\$	85,000	0.00%
FTE Positions	•	15.00	•	15.00	•	15.00	•	15.00	•	15.00	0.00%
Service #2: Pub	olic S	ervice									
Enforce through court hearings, a					•			•	. Pro	vide timely	and efficient
Agency	\$	321,941	\$	701,782	\$	678,904	\$	715,858	\$	715,858	5.16%
•	\$	321,941 353,460	\$	701,782 701,782	\$	678,904 678,904	\$	715,858 715,858	\$	715,858 715,858	
Agency Expenditures Difference	\$ _ \$		\$ 		\$ _		\$ _		\$ _		5.16% 5.16% 0.00%
Expenditures	_	353,460	· _	701,782	_	678,904	_	715,858	_	715,858	5.16%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou	\$ urt He	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings	\$	701,782 0 9.50	\$	678,904 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	5.16% 0.00% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou	\$ urt He	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings	\$	701,782 0 9.50	\$	678,904 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	5.16% 0.00% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou	\$ urt He	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings	\$	701,782 0 9.50	\$	678,904 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	\$	715,858 0 9.50	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency	\$ urt He	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur	\$ rrent I	701,782 0 9.50	\$ blic se	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through	\$ techr	715,858 0 9.50	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and sea awareness. Agency Expenditures	\$ urt He	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur	\$ rrent I	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub	\$ blic se	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through	\$ techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84%
Expenditures Difference	\$ urt Heek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267	\$ rrent I	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924	\$ olic se	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924	\$ techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency Expenditures Difference FTE Positions	\$ ek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267 0 3.00	\$ rrent I	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924 0	\$ olic se	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924 0	\$ techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480 0	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480 0	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency Expenditures Difference	s ek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267 0 3.00	\$ s	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	\$ s	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480 0 3.00	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480 0	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #4: Star Ensure continue	s ek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267 0 3.00	\$ s	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	\$ s	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480 0 3.00	\$ sional	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480 0	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84% 0.00% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #4: Star Ensure continue	s ek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267 0 3.00 Compliance	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	\$ sate la	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924 0 3.00 ws, statutes a	techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480 0 3.00	sional \$	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480 0 3.00	5.16% 0.00% 0.00% ent and public 2.84% 2.84% 0.00% 0.00%
Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #3: Cou Maintain and ser awareness. Agency Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #4: Star	s ek to	353,460 (31,519) 9.50 earings improve cur 143,267 143,267 0 3.00 Compliance	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	701,782 0 9.50 levels of pub 223,924 223,924 0 3.00	\$ sate la	678,904 0 9.50 ervice through 223,924 223,924 0 3.00 ws, statutes a	techr	715,858 0 9.50 nology, profess 230,480 230,480 0 3.00 gulations.	sional \$	715,858 0 9.50 developme 230,480 230,480 0 3.00	5.16% 0.00% 0.00%

Agency:

General Fund	Public Safety, Ju	District Court				
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$1,135,822	\$1,573,863	\$1,225,632	\$1,207,740	\$1,207,740	(1.46%)
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$1,135,822	\$1,573,863	\$1,225,632	\$1,207,740	\$1,207,740	(1.46%)
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$111,651	\$157,727	\$235,025	\$235,025	49.01%
Intergovernmental	\$148,450	\$93,722	\$144,262	\$188,178	\$188,178	30.44%
Miscellaneous	\$360,894	\$556,136	\$500,136	\$499,136	\$499,136	(0.20%)
Intrafund Transfers	\$522,235	\$135,000	\$510,000	\$510,000	\$510,000	0.00%
Interfund Transfers	\$2,958	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Other Agency Revenues	1,034,537	896,509	1,312,125	1,432,339	1,432,339	9.16%
a) Total Agency Revenues	2,170,359	2,470,372	2,537,757	2,640,079	2,640,079	4.03%
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$2,222,276	\$2,280,188	\$2,391,895	\$2,524,066	\$2,524,066	5.53%
Contractual Services	\$3,376,809	\$3,505,623	\$3,476,811	\$3,177,788	\$3,177,788	(8.60%)
Commodities	\$276,793	\$237,247	\$229,587	\$233,051	\$233,051	1.51%
Subtotal	5,875,878	6,023,058	6,098,293	5,934,905	5,934,905	(2.68%)
Miscellaneous	\$7,870	\$6,000	\$6,000	\$8,100	\$8,100	35.00%
Intrafund Transfers	\$28,187	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Transfer to Equipment Reserve	e \$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	0.00%
Subtotal	96,057	66,000	66,000	68,100	68,100	3.18%
Expenditures Subtotal	5,971,935	6,089,058	6,164,293	6,003,005	6,003,005	(2.62%)
Risk Management Charges	\$29,078	\$17,301	\$17,301	\$27,849	\$27,849	60.97%
Cost Allocation	\$1,755,057	\$2,089,269	\$2,089,269	\$2,207,300	\$2,207,300	5.65%
b) Total Expenditures	7,756,070	8,195,628	8,270,863	8,238,154	8,238,154	(0.40%)
Difference: b) minus a)	(5,585,711)	(5,725,256)	(5,733,106)	(5,598,075)	(5,598,075)	(2.36%)
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	15.83	15.83	15.83	15.83	15.83	0.00%
Grant Funded FTEs	11.90	11.90	11.90	12.25	12.25	2.94%
Other FTEs	11.08	11.08	11.08	11.08	11.08	0.00%
Total FTE Positions	38.81	38.81	38.81	39.16	39.16	0.90%

Agency Mission

The Tenth Judicial District has general original jurisdiction over all civil and criminal cases, including divorce and domestic relations, damage suits, probate and administration of estates, guardianships, conservatorships, care of the mentally ill, juvenile matters, and small claims. It is the Tenth Judicial District's intent to create a court environment for the public that deserves community respect by providing superior customer/public services, impartiality and accessibility.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers, Risk Management, and cost allocation charges, are budgeted to decrease by \$163,388 (2.68%) compared to FY 2015. The decrease is due to: 1) \$300,000 reduced for contractual services related to projected savings from changes in how Child in Need of Care cases are managed, 2) \$15,000 increase for Juvenile Violator Funds request, 3) full year impact of grant position added in 2015, and 4) the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

Transfers to Equipment Reserve remain constant at \$60,000.

FTEs for FY 2016 are budgeted to increase from 38.81 to 39.16 (an increase of .35 FTE). This increase is due to the full year impact of an FTE added by way of grants in 2015.

Performance Measure Outcomes - District Courts

- 1) Access to Justice
 - a. The District Court public website continues to provide access to court cases by name of litigant or case number. Site provides case information on Criminal, Juvenile, Traffic, Probate and Civil cases. Also available is information on Marriage License applications.
 - b. The site also provides pertinent information regarding filing fees, local court rules, judge and staff information, and jury service. In 2012 the Court Website received 6,600,049 public searches. In 2013 the Court Website received 7,433,040 public searches. A 12.5% increase in public access.
 - c. With capital improvement funding in 2014, the District Court has brought on-line two new courtrooms designed specifically for Child in Need of Care and Domestic/Family Relations cases.
 - d. Also initiated in 2014 is a Self-Represented Litigant Center, located in the Clerk of the District Court area. Assisted by Kansas Legal Services this center will assist self-represented litigants.
 - e. Jury Surveys reflect that the customer service related to judges and court personnel remains high: Treatment by courthouse staff Excellent 84%, Good 14%, with less than 1% viewed less than favorable. Judges were accessed in the following manner:
 - i. Court Room Demeanor: 79.5% excellent; 16.5% good; with less than 1% viewed as fair/poor.
 - ii. Fairness/Impartiality: 80% excellent; 16% good; with less than 1% viewed less as fair/poor.
 - iii. Courtesy: 84.5% excellent; 12% good; with less than 1% viewed as fair/poor.
 - iv. Competence: 82% excellent; 13.5% good; with less than 1% viewed as fair/poor.
- 2) Expedition and Timeliness
 - a. The District Court and its related service departments have been actively engaged in collaborative efforts with other members of the local justice community.
 - i. Criminal Justice Advisory Council
 - ii. Community Corrections Advisory Board
 - iii. Pretrial intervention services
 - iv. Juvenile Council Advisory Board
 - v. Juvenile detention alternative initiative
 - vi. Human Services Coalition
 - vii. Justice Information Management System Governing Board
 - viii. Public Safety SPARS
 - b. Electronic filing of cases as expedited the case creation process and provides immediate notice to all litigants when new filings have occurred.
 - c. Resolution of cases: Regular Civil 2.6% over two years old; Limited Actions-0.1% over two years old; Domestic Relations-1.5% over two years old. Felony-8.9% over two years; Misdemeanor- 2.5% over two years.
 - d. The District Court has maintained timely submissions of all records and data as required by statues to the Office of Judicial Administration, granting authorities, and state agencies receiving information (Sentencing Commission, Department of Corrections, Motor Vehicle, and Vital Statistics).
- 3) Equality, Fairness and Integrity- (see jury survey questionnaire responses).
 - a. To assist the self- represented litigant the District Court Judges and Clerks Office has embarked upon the development of the Self Represented Litigant Service Center.
 - b. The District Court continues to coordinate and deliver foreign language interpreter services to non-English speaking litigants.
 - c. Continued work with Facilities and Jonson County Human Services in addressing the needs of Americans with Disabilities, with interpreters for the hearing impaired, and addressing barriers to those with physical disabilities.
- 4) Independence and Accountability
 - a. Juror Exit Surveys measured juror attitude regarding service prior to serving and following service. The findings:

i. Excellent before services: 27.4% Excellent after services: 41.5%
 ii. Good before services: 27.6% Good after services: 46.2%%
 iii. Fair/Poor before services: 6.25% Fair/Poor after services 2.4%

- iv. Confidence in legal system: 39.5% excellent; 47.2 good; 2.4% fair/poor.
- b. Maintain active judicial involvement in the Johnson County Bar Association and work with subcommittees of the Bar focused on Bench/Bar relations.
- c. Legislative involvement is maintained on the District Court Judges Association (Judge Thomas Foster is president of KDJA). Clerk of the Court Sandy McCurdy servers on the Legislative Committee of the Kansas Association of District Court Clerks and Court Administrators, and Court Services Administrator Kathleen Rieth serves on the Legislative Committee on Kansas Association of Court Services Officers.
- d. Court hearing increased from 95,297 scheduled court events in 2012 to 96,633 docketed events in 2013 and increase of 1.4%.
- e. Enhance accountability of personnel resources (see Court Services Performance Measures).
- 5) Public Trust and Confidence

Performance Measures District Court Services Office

Domestic Services

- 1. Educational programs (Solid Ground and Higher Ground).
 - a. Parent evaluations at the end of the program to assess whether the program has reduced conflict and provided tools for the parents to co-parent in a healthier fashion.
 - b. Further court environment track the number of times the family returns to Court on issues other than child support matters.
 - c. Parents Forever.
 - i. Screening to track whether parents screened into mediation were reaching any type of agreements.
 - ii. To track whether the parties screened for conciliation to determine if they had further court involvement and if it was in a more limited fashion (limited family assessment v. full assessment).
 - iii. Information –Further court involvement –track the number of times the family returned to court on issues other than child support matters over the next five years.

2. Mediation

- a. Number of agreements of any kind.
- b. Number of families resulting in a family assessment.
- c. Further court involvement.
- 3. Conciliation
 - a. Number of agreements of any kind.
 - b. Tracking the number of limited evaluations vs. full evaluations after a report is submitted.
- 4. Evaluations (family assessments).
 - a. Further court involvement.
 - b. Number of families who found a recommended resource to be helpful.
- 5. Supervised Visitation Program (SVP) & educational component
 - a. Number of families served.
 - b. Number of parents who had a parenting plan that did not include supervision upon completing the program.
 - c. Further court involvement.
 - d. Survey parents for a decrease in their conflict level since participation in the program.
- 6. Supervised Exchange Program
 - a. Number of safe exchanges.

Juvenile Services

- 1. The purpose of Juvenile Services is to reduce recidivism by offering services to effect change.
 - a. Juvenile Intake and Assessment Case Management.
 - b. Youth Court.
 - c. Juvenile Diversion.
 - d. Juvenile Drug Court.
 - i. Drug Screens
 - ii. Grade point average Pre and Post
 - iii. Parent survey on youth's progress
 - iv. Understanding on impact of illegal drugs (youth)
 - v. Parents enhanced awareness of drugs and alcohol.
 - vi. Successful completion of Drug Court
 - e. Minors in Possession
 - i. Parental rating of youth's progress in program and compliance.
 - ii. Youth's understanding of impact of drugs and alcohol as measured by pre and posttest.
 - iii. Parents enhanced awareness of drug and alcohol as measured by pre and post-test.
 - iv. Youth who successfully complete the MIP program and remain crime fee as monitored by subsequent referrals to the district court.
 - f. Project SKIP In conjunction with Youth Court, Court Services offers a truancy program that measures the following:
 - i. Divert youth from the formal filing a court petition via the District Attorney's Office.
 - ii. 90% of youth involved in Project SKIP improved school attendance.
 - iii. 90% of youth involved in Project SKIP improved academic performance.

Adult Services

- 2. The purpose of Adult Court Services is:
 - a. Bond Supervision to provide pre-trial monitoring services to the court by early interventions for clients' individual needs with the goal of enhancing public safety, thus reducing recidivism.
 - i. Public Safety Rate (any new charge while on bond supervision.
 - 1. Level I 496 clients 88.9% with 43.8% on pretrial supervision.
 - 2. Level II 568 clients 89.3% with 66% on pretrial supervision.
 - 3. Level III 847 clients 87.5% with 79.8% on pretrial supervision.
 - ii. Court Appearances
 - 1. Level I 496 88.9% with 43.8% on pretrial supervision.
 - 2. Level II 568 81.0% with 66% on pretrial supervision.
 - 3. Level III 847 67.2% with 79.8% on pretrial supervision.
 - b. Probation Supervision to provide supervision of offenders in order to promote change to reduce recidivism, thus promoting community safety.
 - c. Presentence Investigations to provide timely pre-sentence investigation reports to the court.

Performance Measures Outcomes

Year	Juven	ile Court Services	Adult Court Services			
2010	Re-arrest	28%	10.6%			
	Convictions	25.4%	8.4%			

2011	Re-arrest	25.5%	9.2%	
	Convictions	23.5%	6.4%	
2012	Re-arrest	20.4%	4.8%	
	Convictions	18.3%	3.5%	

Domestic Services - October 2013 until April 2014 (Six months of data)

Type of Service	Conciliation (Conciliation-Par	ents for Ever (PF	Mediation/ PF	Follow-up Med.
Agreement	8	6		81/19	24
Informal Agreeme	ent 1	6		56/7	34
No Agreement	6	9		51/3	19
Not Recommende	ed 0	1		8/0	2
No Show	1	0		17/2	3
Partial Agreement	t 3	3		23/6	12
Pre-Agreement	1	8		14/7	3
Workflow (6 mont	hs)	New Cases	Closed Cases	On-going Cases	3
Child Custody Eva	aluations -	51	58	21	
CINC Mediation		10	11	2	
Conciliation		25	21	7	
Conciliation (Pare	nts Forever)	40	34	10	
Higher Ground (E	duc.)	26	19	101	
Mediation		226	251	62	
Mediation (Parent	s Forever)	35	45	6	
Mediation Follow-	up	88	97	8	
Parents Forever (Educ.)	88	2	0	
Solid Ground (Edu	uc.)	13	13	90	
Supervised Visitat	tion	18	13	18	

Supervised Exchange received 23 court orders in six month period and completed 1,001 safe exchanges within that timeframe.

				Distr	ict	Courts					
			_	Majo	r S	ervices	_		_		
	_	Actual FY 2014		Budget FY 2015		Estimated FY 2015		Requested FY 2016	_	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change
Service #1: District Co	ourt Adı	ministratior	1								
Charged with carrying o	out the c	duties of the	tri <i>e</i>	al court in con	npli	ance with the	: lav	vs of the State	e of	Kansas and	l under the
administrative authority											
5	ø	225 440	Φ	500 404	Φ	225 500	ተ	070 050	ተ	070.050	2.040
Agency Revenues	\$	665,440					Ъ	679,358		•	2.049
Expenditures		1,344,496		1,270,361		1,344,729	φ-	1,379,935	_	1,379,935	2.55%
Difference	\$	(679,056)				, ,	\$	(700,577)	\$		3.05%
FTE Positions		11.00		11.00		11.00		11.00		11.00	0.00%
Service #2: Statutory F	Fees										
Identifies specific budge not limited to, jury fees a interpreters, and court o	and mile	eage, legal n	notio								
•					•		•		•		
Agency Revenues	\$		\$	•			\$				0.449
Expenditures	<u>. –</u>	2,734,125		2,584,715		2,584,715	. •	2,284,715		2,284,715	-13.139
Difference	\$ /	•		,		(2,550,224)	\$,	\$ (,	-13.349
FTE Positions		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.009
Service #3: Clerk of the The Clerk of the District preservation of records marriage licenses.	t Court c	oversees, on									
-	_		_								
Agency Revenues	\$	2,401	\$		\$	0	\$		\$	0	0.00
Expenditures	_	114,301		131,588	_	131,588		131,588	_	131,588	0.00
Difference	\$	(111,900)				(131,588)	\$	(131,588)	\$		0.00
FTE Positions		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00
Service #4: Court Serv	/ices		_				_				
Authorized by Kansas S sentencing decisions, co children in contested cu	orrection	nal services									
Agency Revenues	\$	13,039	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	0.00
Expenditures		120,297		213,504		214,371		229,555		229,555	6.619
Difference	\$	(107,258)				(214,371)	\$		\$		6.61
FTE Positions	•	0.08		0.08		0.08	+	0.08	+	0.08	0.00
TTE FOSITIONS		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00

				Majo	r Se	ervices					
		Actual FY 2014		Budget FY 2015		Estimated FY 2015		Requested FY 2016		Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change
Service #5: District Co	urt Rep	orters			_						
Provides for the capturi used by appellate court charged with receiving	s, partie	s to the pro	cee	dings, and u	pon	request from	n oth	er parties. C			
Agency Revenues	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	0.00%
Expenditures		50,442		51,133		51,133		51,133		51,133	0.00%
Difference	\$	(50,442)	\$	(51,133)	\$	(51,133)	\$	(51,133)	\$	(51,133)	0.00%
FTE Positions		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00%
Service #6: Grant Prog	gh its Co										
The District Court throusustain programs relate Mediation, and probation Agency Revenues Expenditures	gh its Co ed to dor on servic	nestic violer es. 649,643 649,764	s	736,506 736,506	nst \$	women) You 736,506 736,506	th C \$ _	ourt, Juvenile 787,501 787,501	DI \$	787,501 787,501	NC 6.48% 6.48%
	gh its Co ed to dor on servic	nestic violer es. 649,643	s	crimes agai 736,506	nst \$	women) You 736,506	th C	ourt, Juvenile 787,501 787,501	e Di	rug Court, CII 787,501	
The District Court throusustain programs related Mediation, and probation Agency Revenues Expenditures Difference FTE Positions Service #7: Fee Based A number of programs of These include, but are includer programs. Agency Revenues	gh its Coed to dor on service \$ \$ I Service	nestic violer es. 649,643 649,764 (121) 11.90 es	\$ Distile di	736,506 736,506 0 11.90	s = su	736,506 736,506 0 11.90	th C \$ \$	787,501 787,501 0 12.25	\$s	787,501 787,501 0 12.25	6.48% 6.48% 0.00% 2.86% de services. ervice
The District Court throus ustain programs relate Mediation, and probation Agency Revenues Expenditures Difference	gh its Co ed to dor on service \$ \$ I Service offered to	nestic violer es. 649,643 649,764 (121) 11.90 es hrough the ed to, juveni	\$ Distile di	736,506 736,506 0 11.90 rict Court are iversion, dor	s = sumes	736,506 736,506 0 11.90 sbject to fees tic service pr	s paidogra	787,501 787,501 0 12.25 d by the party ms, bond sup 1,138,578 1,138,578	\$s	787,501 787,501 0 12.25 s) receiving the vision, and see	6.48% 6.48% 0.00% 2.86% ne services.

		District Cour				
	Requ	uests for Additiona	Resources			
			Budget FY 2016	_	Requested FY 2017	Projected FY 2017
Request #1: Juvenile Violato	r Funds		Priority:	1 N	lajor Service: (Court Services
This request helps juvenile offer Current trends show that some in financial assistance to help offse indicate that for technical/non-la inability of the probationer to pay required treatment and evaluation a Juvenile Detention Alternative strives to reduce the detention prinancial assistance to help tech probation revocation. This will, contribute to their success. This Corrections portion is \$6,720.	of the barriet the cost w violation y for probactors. In add s Initiative copulation anical violation turn, red is a joint r	iers to success for ce of completing supera probation violators, ation related requirer dition, transportation (JDAI) site through the and utilize detention tors comply with confuce the number of prequest with Correction	ertain offender vision success a primary fact nents such as is a barrier for he Annie E. Conly for high r ditions of probotons. The Distroscensis on successive succe	s cou fully. or fo urina mar asey isk, v ation ors p	ald be removed by Juvenile Probation revocallysis testing, houng causing failured Foundation, John violent offenders. In will reduce the replaced in detention	y providing tion Officers ration is the use arrest and es to report. As anson County Providing need to pursue an and further
Agency Revenues	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0 \$	0
Expenditures	,	15,000	15,000	*	15,000	15,000
Difference	\$	(15,000) \$	(15,000)	\$	(15,000) \$	(15,000)
Full-time Equivalent Positions		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Administrative S	Support/					DCA/Court
Request #2: HR Payroll Rep			Priority:	2 N	lajor Service:	Services
Currently this position is filled by Juvenile Diversion and Domesti functions for all County employe assisting the CSAO with grants, deposits. This request would trachange in funding source for this	c Relations ees under t and other ansfer the	s. The primary funct the Department of Diadministrative duties costs for this position	ion of this pos strict Court Ad s such as logg n from fee fund	tion minis ing c Is to	is to handle HR/F stration. Other d ash receipts and County funds. T	Payroll uties include backup bank
Agency Revenues	\$	0 \$	0	\$	0 \$	0
Expenditures		61,029	0		63,140	0
Difference	\$	(61,029) \$	0	\$	(63,140) \$	0
Full-time Equivalent Positions		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00

Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Title: **Justice Annex Additional Interview/Mediation Rooms** Year Placed:

N/A

Description: The Justice Annex has unfinished space that was planned to be finished in the future for additional interview and mediation rooms in the District Court Services suite. Finishing the space will provide an additional six interview/mediation rooms for the increased need required for private meeting rooms. This project will include removing an existing demising wall that separates this space, installation of walls, finishes, HVAC, electrical and voice/data, and furniture. This request has been made for 2017, but is currently not in the 5-year CIP.

Capital Expenditures	_	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Project Total
Preliminary Studies & Land	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Design and Construction	\$	135,000 \$	\$	\$	\$	\$	135,000
Equipment	\$	25,000 \$	\$	\$	\$	\$	25,000
Total	\$	160,000 \$	\$	\$	\$	\$	160,000

Total FTE	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	Total FTE \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$

Fund: Strategic Program: Agency: Justice Information
General Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Management System

General Fund Pu	blic Safety, Ju				Management System			
	Actual	Budget	Estimated		Budget	2015 - 2016		
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	% Change		
Agency Revenues								
Charges for Service	\$7,650	\$7,966	\$7,966	\$8,126	\$8,126	2.01%		
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$7,650	\$7,966	\$7,966	\$8,126	\$8,126	2.01%		
Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-		
Total Other Agency Revenues	0	0	0	0	0	-		
a) Total Agency Revenues	7,650	7,966	7,966	8,126	8,126	2.01%		
Expenditures								
Personnel	\$1,567,621	\$1,695,064	\$1,710,080	\$1,777,470	\$1,777,470	3.94%		
Contractual Services	\$351,857	\$795,215	\$795,215	\$795,215	\$795,215	0.00%		
Commodities	\$273,627	\$168,686	\$168,686	\$268,686	\$268,686	59.28%		
Capital Outlay	\$58,045	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Subtotal	2,251,150	2,658,965	2,673,981	2,841,371	2,841,371	6.26%		
Miscellaneous	\$5,909	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-		
Transfer to Capital Projects	\$415,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	(50.00%)		
Subtotal	420,909	300,000	300,000	150,000	150,000	(50.00%)		
Expenditures Subtotal	2,672,059	2,958,965	2,973,981	2,991,371	2,991,371	0.58%		
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-		
Risk Management Charges	\$3,048	\$1,811	\$1,811	\$3,499	\$3,499	93.21%		
Cost Allocation	\$582,214	\$322,290	\$322,290	\$251,483	\$251,483	(21.97%)		
b) Total Expenditures	3,257,321	3,283,066	3,298,082	3,246,353	3,246,353	(1.57%)		
Difference: b) minus a)	(3,249,671)	(3,275,100)	(3,290,116)	(3,238,227)	(3,238,227)	(1.58%)		
FTE Positions								
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-		
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-		
Other FTEs	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	0.00%		
Total FTE Positions	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	0.00%		

Agency Mission

The Justice Information Management System (JIMS) Department strives to provide quality, comprehensive information technology solutions and services to JIMS users and to the public. We seek to provide modern information technology infrastructure that enhances communications and productivity through innovative applications of technology. JIMS works to support these products and services, and to ensure a timely, accurate, and cost effective information system.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers and Risk Management charges, are budgeted to increase by \$167,390 (6.26%) compared to FY 2015. The increase is due to: 1) \$100,000 for the increased costs of on-going maintenance agreements, and 2) the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

Transfers to Capital Projects include \$150,000 for JIMS Infrastructure Maintenance.

FTEs for FY 2016 remain constant at 18.00 FTE.

Agency Goals & Objectives	
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives	Associated PMs:
Provide support to assist JIMS agencies in improvement of operational efficiencies.	
* Provide and maintain desktop support for JIMS users.	j,k
* Assist in the integration of local law enforcement agencies into JIMS.	q
* Ensure 24/7 access.	ĺ
* Maintain data storage, security, and data integrity.	l,r
* Provide ongoing training for new Applications.	n,q
2) Provide access to outside agencies.	
* Ensure 24/7 access.	1
* Provide training and user manuals for outside users.	q
3) Ensure regulatory compliance of data reporting.	
* Send accurate and timely reports to OJA.	m,r
* Send accurate and timely reports to KBI.	m,r
* Send accurate and timely reports to DMV.	m,r

Agency Key Performance Measures (PMs)

Output	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
a) Switches and firewalls maintained and supported.	145	140	135
b) Servers physical/virtual maintained and supported.	74	79	84
c) PCs deployed and decommissioned.	540	550	560
d) PCs maintained and supported.	1,275	1,285	1,295
e) Printers/Scanners maintained and supported.	570	575	580
f) Users supported (4,200 Attorneys).	1,250	1,270	1,275
g) Helpdesk Tickets received in a day.	220	240	250
h) Application requests in a month.	10	15	15
i) Data and statistics requested in a month.	25	30	30
 % of PCs/printers installed within 10 days. k) Average response time to help desk tickets. l) % of time data unavailable when requested. m) % of data sent to state agencies in a timely manner. n) % of users being trained on new Applications. o) % of App. changes requests completed in a week. p) % of data or statistics completed in 24 hours. 	25% 5 Minutes < 1% 70% 100% 45% 95%	20% 10 Minutes < 1% 75% 100% 40% 95%	20% 10 Minutes < 1% 100% 100% 40% 95%
Effectiveness Measures			
q) % of outside users successfully getting access on-line.	95%	95%	95%
r) % of data sent to state agencies with no return errors.	75%	60%	75%

	Justice Information Management System Major Services												
	Actual Budget Estimated Requested Budget 2015-2												
	-	FY 2014		FY 2015		FY 2015	-	FY 2016		FY 2016	%Change		
Service #1: JIM	S												
efficiency. 2) Ma access. 3) Provi to agencies for n	sion	of various tra	aining	for agen			_	•		-	•		
Agency	\$	7,650		7,966	\$	7,966	\$	8,126	\$	8,126	1.97%		
Expenditures	_	2,672,059		2,958,965		2,973,981	_	2,991,371		2,991,371	0.58%		
Difference	\$	(2,664,409)	\$ (2		\$	(2,966,015)	\$	(2,983,245)	\$	(2,983,245)	0.58%		
FTE Positions		18.00		18.00		18.00		18.00		18.00	0.00%		

		tice Information Requests for Add	ditional Resource		
	F	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	Requested FY 2017	Projected FY 2017
equest #1: Maintenan	ce Support		Priority: 1	Major Service:	JIMS
his request is to keep of the past years JIMS has upport. It will enable use the applications/equipations at the Crinue XIV SANS which we could be the country will equest is included in the	has increase is to ensure 2 oment are: T ne Lab, Ocul e pre-paid foi I run out in m	d the number of ap 24/7 access and mand the overall maintend laris Security Camer 3 years when we had 2015 and the fu	plications and equalintain data storage ance for the 31 fingera Recording main bought them in 20	ipment for the depart e, security, and data ger print devices has ntenance, pro-rated n 12, and the SAN whic	ments that we integrity. Some increased, UPS naintenance on the was bought in
jency Revenues	\$	0 \$	0 \$	0 \$	
penditures		100,000	100,000	100,000	100,00
fference	\$	(100,000) \$	(100,000) \$	(100,000) \$	(100,00
Ill-time Equivalent Posi	itions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0

busilee illorination management bystem		
Capital Improvement Program (CIP)		
Title: Infrastructure Maintenance	Year Placed:	2016

Description: This request is an on-going capital replacement project account to deal with the maintenance of the JIMS' ITS infrastructure. JIMS has been opportunistic in adding equipment and replacing equipment in the past but time has come to replace this equipment as it begins to reach the end of its useful life, and in addition the growth and demand for ITS support from the agencies and departments that JIMS serves has been phenomenal. The FY 2016 request supports replacement of two firewalls, increasing capacity of the current Storage Area Network (SAN), and the replacement of two aging video conferencing units at the Courthouse. This request is funded in the FY 2016 CIP.

Capital Expenditures	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Project Total
Preliminary Studies	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Design and Construction	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Equipment	\$ 150,000 \$	150,000 \$	150,000 \$	540,000 \$	150,000 \$	1,140,000
Total	\$ 150,000 \$	150,000 \$	150,000 \$	540,000 \$	150,000 \$	1,140,000
Operating Expenditures	Total FTE	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	
Personnel	 				_	
Contractual	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		
Commodities	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		
Capital	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		
On-going Total	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		
Start Up	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		
TOTAL	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$		

Title: Year Placed: NA **Courthouse Technology Upgrade**

Description:

The purpose of this request is to bring the District Court courtrooms up to the 21st century. The JIMS department will be coordinating the technology upgrade to be done at the same time as the courtrooms are to be remodeled by Facilities. Currently, of the 23 existing courtrooms, only one has the technology built in as part of the design of the courtroom. The rest of the courtrooms have a makeshift setup to support the technical needs of the court. This project would include audio-visual presentation, sound reinforcement, audio recording, video conferencing, flat panels for gallery display, connections for attorneys at both their counsel tables and at the lectern. All of this technology will be controlled by a touch panel at the judge's bench and at the AA station to provide simplified operation of the equipment. This 2016 request is not in the current forecasted 5-year CIP as it does not fit within the current fiscal constraints.

Capital Expenditures	 Year 1	_	Year 2	_	Year 3	_	Year 4		Year 5		Project Total
Preliminary Studies	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Design and Consulting	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Construction	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Equipment	\$ 323,200	\$	1,471,400	\$	613,444	\$	1,097,383	\$	288,063	\$	3,793,490
Total	\$ 323,200	\$	1,471,400	\$	613,444	\$	1,097,383	\$	288,063	\$	3,793,490
Operating Expenditures	Total FTE		Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4		
Personnel								_		_	
Contractual	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Commodities	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Capital	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
On-going Total	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Start Up	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			
TOTAL	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$			

Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Title: **Security Camera Replacement** Year Placed: 2017 Description: This request would pro-actively replace the aging equipment for the County's Security Camera infrastructure. Several years ago JIMS took over the maintenance of the Camera's software, servers, countywide and the NVR (Network Video Recorder) equipment at the Crime Lab and CCC building. Currently the physical cameras and the DVR (Digital Video Recorder)/NVR equipment is up to the various building occupants to maintain. The current procedure for this equipment is that it only gets replaced after it breaks. This request would have the JIMS department also be able to go ahead and support the Cameras, DVR/NVR throughout the County. This would put the replacement cycle on more of a routine basis and help ensure that the equipment is properly maintained as JIMS would act as the department responsible for the security camera system. This request was made for 2016, but it is currently placed to start in 2017. **Capital Expenditures** FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019 **Project Total** \$ **Preliminary Studies** \$ \$ \$ \$ **Design and Construction** \$ \$ Equipment \$ \$ 113,500 \$ 172,400 \$ 161,100 \$ 0 \$ 447.000 \$ 447,000 Total 113,500 \$ 172,400 \$ 161,100 \$ 0 \$ **Operating Expenditures** Total FTE FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019 Personnel \$ \$ \$ Contractual \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Commodities \$ \$ \$ \$ Capital \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ On-going Total \$ Start Up \$ \$ \$ **TOTAL** \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Fund: Strategic Program:
General Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Service

Agency:

General Fund Pu	blic Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services				Law Library		
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change	
Agency Revenues							
Charges for Service	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	
Miscellaneous	\$299,076	\$338,018	\$334,218	\$347,699	\$347,699	4.03%	
Total Other Agency Revenues	299,076	338,018	334,218	347,699	347,699	4.03%	
a) Total Agency Revenues	299,076	338,018	334,218	347,699	347,699	4.03%	
Expenditures							
Personnel	\$299,075	\$338,018	\$334,218	\$347,699	\$347,699	4.03%	
Subtotal	299,075	338,018	334,218	347,699	347,699	4.03%	
Transfer to Capital Projects	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-	
Expenditures Subtotal	299,075	338,018	334,218	347,699	347,699	4.03%	
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	
Risk Management Charges	\$1,949	\$1,148	\$1,148	\$1,854	\$1,854	61.50%	
Cost Allocation	\$103,527	\$114,614	\$114,614	\$113,582	\$113,582	(0.90%)	
b) Total Expenditures	404,551	453,780	449,980	463,135	463,135	2.92%	
Difference: b) minus a)	(105,475)	(115,762)	(115,762)	(115,436)	(115,436)	(0.28%)	
, ,	(123,114)	(,,-	(112,122)	(112,124)	(112,120)	()	
FTE Positions							
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	
Other FTEs	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	0.00%	
Total FTE Positions	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	0.00%	

Agency Mission

The mission of the Johnson County Law Library is to make available to judges, attorneys, County officials, and all citizens of the County, outstanding legal resources and services that will enable users to perform at the highest level of research and practice.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding cost allocation and Risk Management charges, are budgeted to increase by \$13,481 (4.03%) compared to FY 2015. The increase is due to the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

FTEs for FY 2016 remain constant at 4.96 FTE.

Agency: Law Library

Agency Goals & O	bjectives		
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives			Associated PMs:
Provide attorneys with reference services.			a
Provide unrepresented persons with reference service	9 S.		b
Agency Key Performance	Measures (PMs	5)	
Output	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
a) Reference services to attorneys.b) Reference services to unrepresented persons.	4,560 4,660	4,560 4,000	4,560 4,000
Efficiency/Cost Measures			
Effectiveness Measures			
LITECTIVE TICES INCUSURES			

Agency: Law Library

	Majo	or Services			
Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015-2016
FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	%Change

Service #1: Legal Referral and Circulation

Assist attorneys, judges and the public to use the Law Library's outstanding collection of print and electronic resources to research legal questions and find forms. Resources include federal and state statutes, case reporters, treatises, form books, fill-in-the-blank form packets, web page links and a full Westlaw online legal research service. Refer the public to Kansas Legal Services and the Kansas Bar Association for attorney services and legal advice. Check out library materials to attorneys registered with the Law Library for a two week period. Provide child support calculation software, computers, photocopying, fax service, conference room, phone rooms, and reading room.

Agency Revenues	\$ 299,076	\$ 338,018	\$ 334,218	\$ 347,699	\$ 347,699	3.88%
Expenditures	299,075	338,018	334,218	347,699	347,699	3.88%
Difference	\$ 1	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0.00%
FTE Positions	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	4.96	0.00%

Fund: Prosecutor Training

Strategic Program:

Agency: Prosecutor Training

& Assistance Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services & Assistance Actual Budget Estimated Requested Budget 2015 - 2016 FY 2014 FY 2015 FY 2015 FY 2016 FY 2016 % Change **Agency Revenues** Charges for Service \$0 \$29,000 \$29,000 \$29,000 \$29,000 0.00% \$29,000 Total Agency Fees & Charges \$0 \$29,000 \$29,000 \$29,000 0.00% Miscellaneous \$0 \$27,887 \$0 \$0 Total Other Agency Revenues 27,887 a) Total Agency Revenues 29,000 27,887 29,000 29,000 29,000 0.00% **Expenditures** Contractual Services \$24,302 \$29,000 \$29,000 \$29,000 \$29,000 0.00% Subtotal 24,302 29,000 29,000 29,000 29,000 0.00% Miscellaneous \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Subtotal 0 **Expenditures Subtotal** 24,302 29,000 29,000 29,000 29,000 0.00% Vehicle Equivalent Units \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 b) Total Expenditures 24,302 29,000 29,000 29,000 29,000 0.00% 3,585 0 0 0 0 Difference: b) minus a) **FTE Positions** Fee Funded FTEs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 **Grant Funded FTEs** 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Other FTEs 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 **Total FTE Positions** 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

Agency Mission

This agency receives part of the court costs assessed in every case. Its proceeds are used for training programs for the District Attorney's Office.

Budget Highlights

Proceeds to the Prosecuting Attorney Fund are budgeted at \$29,000 for FY 2016. This agency does not receive any County support.

Fund: Strategic Program: Agency:

General Fund Public Safety Judicial & Emergency Services Public Safety Sales Tax 1

General Fund Pu	ıblic Safety, J	udicial & Eme	ergency Servi	ces	Public Safe	ty Sales Tax 1
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Interest	\$9,446	\$16,443	\$8,392	\$8,834	\$8,834	5.27%
Total Other Agency Revenues	9,446	16,443	8,392	8,834	8,834	5.27%
a) Total Agency Revenues	9,446	16,443	8,392	8,834	8,834	5.27%
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Intrafund Transfers	\$20,709,132	\$20,882,340	\$20,882,340	\$21,959,782	\$21,959,782	5.16%
Subtotal	20,709,132	20,882,340	20,882,340	21,959,782	21,959,782	5.16%
Expenditures Subtotal	20,709,132	20,882,340	20,882,340	21,959,782	21,959,782	5.16%
b) Total Expenditures	20,709,132	20,882,340	20,882,340	21,959,782	21,959,782	5.16%
Difference: b) minus a)	(20,699,686)	(20,865,897)	(20,873,948)	(21,950,948)	(21,950,948)	5.16%
Tax Revenues						
Other Taxes	\$20,440,767	\$20,865,897	\$21,180,342	\$21,950,948	\$21,950,948	3.64%
Total Tax Revenues	20,440,767	20,865,897	21,180,342	21,950,948	21,950,948	3.64%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Agency Mission

This non-operating agency was created in 1995 to account for the proceeds and uses of the one-quarter cent Public Safety Sales Tax, the compensating use tax, and investment interest which it earns.

Budget Highlights

The Intrafund Transfers are made to cover operations in the Sheriff and Corrections departments.

General Fund Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Public Safety Sales Tax 2

General Fund Pt	iblic Salety, J	udiciai & Eine	ergency Service	es	Public Sale	ty Sales Tax Z
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$1,257,300	\$997,366	\$445,845	\$445,845	(55.30%)
Interest	\$43,758	\$93,389	\$38,878	\$40,924	\$40,924	5.26%
Total Other Agency Revenues	43,758	1,350,689	1,036,244	486,769	486,769	(53.03%)
a) Total Agency Revenues	43,758	1,350,689	1,036,244	486,769	486,769	(53.03%)
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Lease Payment to PBC	\$9,713,365	\$9,708,745	\$9,708,745	\$9,650,616	\$9,650,616	(0.60%)
Intrafund Transfers	\$13,325,620	\$12,507,841	\$12,507,841	\$12,787,101	\$12,787,101	2.23%
Subtotal	23,038,985	22,216,586	22,216,586	22,437,717	22,437,717	1.00%
Expenditures Subtotal	23,038,985	22,216,586	22,216,586	22,437,717	22,437,717	1.00%
b) Total Expenditures	23,038,985	22,216,586	22,216,586	22,437,717	22,437,717	1.00%
Difference: b) minus a)	(22,995,227)	(20,865,897)	(21,180,342)	(21,950,948)	(21,950,948)	3.64%
Tax Revenues						
Other Taxes	\$20,440,767	\$20,865,897	\$21,180,342	\$21,950,948	\$21,950,948	3.64%
Total Tax Revenues	20,440,767	20,865,897	21,180,342	21,950,948	21,950,948	3.64%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Agency Mission

This non-operating agency was created in 2009 to account for the proceeds and uses of the one-quarter cent Public Safety Sales Tax II, the compensating use tax, and investment interest which it earns. This sales tax was approved on August 5, 2008 by the voters of Johnson County.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016 are budgeted at \$22,437,717, an increase of 1.00% over the estimated 2015 expenditures. Of the budgeted expenditures, \$9,650,616 is scheduled for debt service related to the four approved capital projects, and the intrafund transfers will cover operations within the Sheriff's Office, Corrections and Facilities departments for costs associated with these four projects. This fund does not receive any revenue from property taxes. Reserves are anticipated to be fully expended by the end of FY 2016.

General Fund		Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services				
	Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015 - 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	% Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$2,939,666	\$2,587,750	\$2,587,750	\$2,670,035	\$2,670,035	3.18%
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$2,939,666	\$2,587,750	\$2,587,750	\$2,670,035		
Total Agency Fees & Charges	φ ∠ ,303,000	φ ∠ ,301,130	φ ∠ ,υυτ,τυυ	φ2,070,000	φ2,070,000	3.1070
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$374,749	\$45,515	\$1,480,020	\$1,480,020	3151.72%
Intergovernmental	\$435,445	\$776,520	\$776,520	\$533,384	\$533,384	(31.31%)
Miscellaneous	\$551,783	\$423,700	\$423,700	\$414,300	\$414,300	(2.22%)
Intrafund Transfers	\$25,252,887	\$24,230,026	\$24,230,025	\$24,857,754	\$24,857,754	2.59%
Interfund Transfers	\$1,477,732	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Other Agency Revenues	27,717,847	25,804,995	25,475,760	27,285,458	27,285,458	7.10%
a) Total Agency Revenues	30,657,513	28,392,745	28,063,510	29,955,493	29,955,493	6.74%
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$57,167,519	\$59,032,698	\$58,206,460	\$60,949,219	\$60,949,219	4.71%
Contractual Services	\$8,974,841	\$10,763,525	\$10,434,291	\$11,804,374	\$11,804,374	13.13%
Commodities	\$2,707,923	\$3,116,691	\$3,111,303	\$3,184,789	\$3,184,789	2.36%
Capital Outlay	\$146,836	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	68,997,119	72,912,914	71,752,054	75,938,382	75,938,382	5.83%
	-,,-	•-,,-	,,	• -,,	,,	0.007.
Miscellaneous	\$952	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$1,000	(33.33%)
Subtotal	952	1,500	1,500	1,000	1,000	(33.33%)
Expenditures Subtotal	68,998,071	72,914,414	71,753,554	75,939,382	75,939,382	5.83%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Risk Management Charges	\$685,380	\$405,983	\$405,983	\$654,510	\$654,510	61.22%
Cost Allocation	\$9,300,104	\$9,154,042	\$9,154,042	\$9,962,300	\$9,962,300	8.83%
b) Total Expenditures	78,983,555	82,474,439	81,313,579	86,556,192	86,556,192	6.45%
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,,		,, -		
Difference: b) minus a)	(48,326,042)	(54,081,694)	(53,250,069)	(56,600,699)	(56,600,699)	6.29%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.00%
Other FTEs	653.50	653.50	653.53	653.53	653.53	0.00%
Total FTE Positions	656.50	656.50	656.53	656.53	656.53	0.00%

The mission of the Johnson County Sheriff's Office is to protect life and property, deter criminal activity, enforce state laws and maintain civil order while operating safe and secure detention facilities. By utilizing the highest level of ethics, honor, integrity, and commitment, and in partnership with the community, we shall provide the highest level of law enforcement services to the citizens within Johnson County.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers, Risk Management charges and cost allocation, are budgeted to increase by \$4,186,328 (5.83%) compared to FY 2015. This increase is due to the following: 1) \$1,443,569 for contractual and commodity increases for the Sheriff's fee funds, and 2) the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

FTEs for FY 2016 are budgeted to remain constant at 656.53 FTEs.

Snerim			
Agency Goals & Ob	jectives		
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives			Associated PMs:
Policing Services			
Reduce the opportunities for offenders to victimize citizen	ıs.		
* Remain vigilant and take initiative to investigate unusual acti			i
2) Provide law enforcement and emergency response service			,
* Increase citizen satisfaction with policing services.			j,k,l,s
* Maintain a quality response to service requests.			j,k,i,s t
3) Provide expert criminal investigation services.			
* Maintain proactive investigations of drug crimes and internet	crimes.		m,n
* Maintain quality investigations of reported crimes.			n,u
Maintain quality investigations of reperiod similar. Maintain the quality of public safety communications serv	ices.		11,0
* Maintain professional police dispatching services.			o,p,v
5) Ensure the quality of services provided by the department	_		٥,٢,٠
* Maintain quality training for sworn staff.	-		q,r
Maintain quality training for ottom stant.			4,,
Agency Key Performance N	leasures (PMs)		
	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output	2014	2015	2016
a) # of calls for service including self-initiated (patrol).	42,968	43,830	44,710
b) Average response time to emergency calls (minutes).c) Amount of revenue generated from vehicle	7.0	7.0	7.0
registration unit.	\$339,288	\$346,070	\$352,990
d) # of cases assigned to general investigations unit.	618	630	640
e) # of cases assigned for internet crimes.	91	96	101
f) # of calls for service including self-initiated (dispatch).	293,921	299,800	305,800
g) # of 911 calls received.	81,080	82,700	84,350
h) # of training hours received by employees.	5,894	6,010	6,130
 i) Total # of specialized instructional hours provided by Personnel/Training Unit. 	1,689	1,720	1,750
by reisonner training onit.	1,009	1,720	1,730
Efficiency/Cost Measures			
j) % change in # of calls for service (patrol).	3%	2%	2%
k) Change in average response time to emergency calls (min.).	3%	0%	0%
I) % change in revenue by vehicle registration unit.	-17%	2%	2%
m) % change in # of cases for general investigations unit.	-32%	2%	2%
n) % change in arrests made for internet crimes.	90%	5%	5%
o) % change in # of calls for service (dispatch).	-5%	2%	2%
		2%	2%
p) % change in # of 911 calls received.	-11%		20/
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees.	-11% -31%	2%	2%
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees.r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/	-31%	2%	
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees.			2% 2%
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees.r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/	-31%	2%	
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees. r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/ Training Unit. Effectiveness Measures s) % of survey responses rating perception of safety	-31% -53%	2% 2%	2%
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees. r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/ Training Unit. Effectiveness Measures s) % of survey responses rating perception of safety in neighborhood as "feel safe from crime and violence."	-31%	2%	
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees. r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/ Training Unit. Effectiveness Measures s) % of survey responses rating perception of safety in neighborhood as "feel safe from crime and violence." t) % of survey responses with satisfactory or better	-31% -53% 96%	2% 2% 96%	2% 98%
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees. r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/ Training Unit. Effectiveness Measures s) % of survey responses rating perception of safety in neighborhood as "feel safe from crime and violence." t) % of survey responses with satisfactory or better rating for "quality of public safety."	-31% -53% 96% 90%	2% 2% 96% 90%	2% 98% 92%
q) % change in # of training hours received by employees. r) % change in instructional hours provided by Personnel/ Training Unit. Effectiveness Measures s) % of survey responses rating perception of safety in neighborhood as "feel safe from crime and violence." t) % of survey responses with satisfactory or better	-31% -53% 96%	2% 2% 96%	2% 98%

Agency Coole			
Agency Goals of	& Objectives		
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives			Associated PMs:
Forensics Laboratory			
1) Provide scientific analysis related to biological evic	lence associated	with crimes	
committed in Johnson County.			
* Maintain the quality of DNA analysis of biological evid			i
* Increase the number of samples receiving DNA analy 2) Provide scientific analysis related to chemical and		e associated	a,e
with crimes committed in Johnson County.	priysical evidenc	c associated	
* Maintain quality analysis of evidentiary items.			b,f,j
* Maintain timely completion of requested evidence ana	alysis.		k
3) Facilitate the identification and apprehension of se	rious offenders.		
* Prioritize laboratory resources to provide investigative	•	· ·	g,l
* Provide timely and expert crime scene processing ser	vices in major crir	ne	h,k,l
investigations.			
Agency Key Performan	nce Measures (PN	Ms)	
	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output	2014	2015	2016
a) Total # of samples receiving DNA analysis.	2,478	1,389	1,339
b) Total # of items of evidence examined.	19,270	14,355	14,284
		0.007	0.500
c) Total # of case examinations completed.	4,120 679	3,607 1,063	3,528 1 101
d) Total # of case examinations completed. d) Total # of case examinations pending.	4,120 679	3,607 1,063	3,528 1,101
· ·	·	•	
d) Total # of case examinations pending.	·	•	
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures	679	1,063	1,101
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed.	679	1,063	-4%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined.	679 13% 4%	1,063 -44% -26%	-4% 0%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed.	679 13% 4% -6%	-44% -26% -12%	-4% 0% -2%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met.	679 13% 4% -6%	-44% -26% -12%	-4% 0% -2%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met. (DNA Advisory Board)	13% 4% -6% 3%	-44% -26% -12% 57%	-4% 0% -2% 4%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met.	13% 4% -6% 3%	1,063 -44% -26% -12% 57%	-4% 0% -2% 4%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met. (DNA Advisory Board) j) % of ASCLD/LAB Accreditation Standards met.	13% 4% -6% 3%	1,063 -44% -26% -12% 57%	-4% 0% -2% 4%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met. (DNA Advisory Board) j) % of ASCLD/LAB Accreditation Standards met. (American Society of Crime Lab Directors/ Laboratory Accreditation Board)	13% 4% -6% 3%	1,063 -44% -26% -12% 57%	-4% 0% -2% 4%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met. (DNA Advisory Board) j) % of ASCLD/LAB Accreditation Standards met. (American Society of Crime Lab Directors/	13% 4% -6% 3% 100%	1,063 -44% -26% -12% 57% 100%	1,101 -4% 0% -2% 4% 100%
d) Total # of case examinations pending. Efficiency/Cost Measures e) % change in # of samples receiving DNA analysis. f) % change in # of items of evidence examined. g) % change in # of case examinations completed. h) % change in # of case examinations pending. Effectiveness Measures i) % of DAB Quality Assurance Standards met. (DNA Advisory Board) j) % of ASCLD/LAB Accreditation Standards met. (American Society of Crime Lab Directors/ Laboratory Accreditation Board) k) % responders to survey rating the overall	13% 4% -6% 3% 100%	1,063 -44% -26% -12% 57% 100%	1,101 -4% 0% -2% 4% 100%

Agency Goals & Objectives	
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives	Associated PMs:
<u>Detention Services</u>	
1) Provide secure custody of persons charged with violations of state and municipal laws.	
* Maintain an effective inmate management system.	j,k,l,m,n,s,t
* Ensure safe and secure inmate movement outside the detention facilities.	o,p,u,v
2) Contribute to the effective operation of the court system.	
* Ensure inmates appear at all required court proceedings.	o,q,r,w

Agency Key Performance Measures (PMs)

	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output	2014	2015	2016
a) # of inmates booked.	14,966	15,270	15,580
b) Average daily population.	693	710	720
c) Average # of inmates boarded per day to other jails.	0	0	0
d) Total inmate man-days in custody.	268,270	273,640	279,110
e) Average length of inmate stay (days).	17.7	17.0	16.9
f) Miles driven to transport inmates (out of County only).	0	0	0
g) Total # of inmates transported (in County).	13,545	13,680	13,820
h) Inmate court appearances, in person.	8,602	8,520	8,430
i) Inmate court appearances, by video.	9,902	5,160	5,390
Efficiency/Cost Measures			
j) Average # of inmates booked per day.	41	42	43
k) Change in average daily population.	43	17	10
Change in average # of inmates boarded out			
per day to other jails.	0	0	0
m) Change in inmate man-days in custody.	15,303	5,370	5,470
n) Change in average length of stay (days).	0.7	-0.7	-0.1
o) Change in miles driven to transport inmates.	0	0	0
p) Change in # of inmates transported.	1,307	135	140
q) # of inmate court appearances in person per week.	165	164	162
r) # of inmate court appearances by video per week.	190	99	104
Effectiveness Measures			
s) % of inmates classified within 72 hours.	100%	100%	100%
t) % of inmates held without escape.	100%	100%	100%
u) % of capacity in Johnson County jails.	64%	67%	67%
v) % of inmates transported without injury or escape.	100%	100%	100%
w) % of inmates presented in court as scheduled.	100%	100%	100%

Sheriff			
Agency Goals & Ob	jectives		
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Objectives			Associated PMs:
Court Services			
1) Provide for a safe and orderly courthouse environment.			
* Maintain an effective entry security screening process.			j,s
* Maintain public order in the courthouse.			k,t
* Maintain an active warrant service program.			l,u
2) Provide for the apprehension of persons named in warran	nts and court o	rders.	
* Increase the number of persons arrested on warrants.			m,n,v,w
3) Contribute to the effective operation of the judicial system			
* Maintain the timely and lawful service of civil process and co			o,p,x,y
4) Collect delinquent property taxes owed to Johnson Coun			
* Execute delinquent tax warrants received from the County T	reasurer.		q,r,z
Agency Key Performance N	leasures (PMs)		
	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output	2014	2015	2016
a) # of persons screened for entry into courthouse and annex.	413,116	421,380	429,810
b) # of security requests handled by courthouse unit.	1,192	1,220	1,240
c) # of warrant arrests by courthouse security unit.	414	420	430
d) Total # of warrants received.	6,471	6,600	6,730
e) Total # of warrants executed.	4,765	4,860	4,960
f) # of civil process and orders attempted.	28,040	28,600	29,170
g) # of civil process and orders served.	31,825	32,460	33,110
h) # of tax warrants executed.	2,288	2,330	2,380
i) \$ collected from Sheriff's collection actions.	\$566,502	\$576,900	\$589,280
Emiliary (October 1984)			
i) # of persons screened for entry into courthouse			
- average per day.	1,132	1,154	1,178
k) # of security requests handled by courthouse	1,102	1,104	1,170
unit - average per day.	3.3	3.3	3.4
I) # of warrant arrests by courthouse security unit	0.0	0.0	0.4
- average per day.	1.1	1.2	1.2
m) Average # of warrants received per day.	17.7	18.1	18.4
n) % of warrants executed successfully.	74%	74%	74%
o) # of civil process and orders attempted per day.	112	114	117
u) # ui civii piucess and uideis allempled pei day.		113.5%	113.5%
		75%	113.370
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders.	113.5%		75%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders.q) % of tax warrants executed.	113.5% 84.5%		75% \$248
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders.q) % of tax warrants executed.r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed.	113.5%	\$248	75% \$248
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures	113.5% 84.5% \$248	\$248	\$248
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained.	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100%	\$248 100%	\$248 100%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures	113.5% 84.5% \$248	\$248	\$248
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained.	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100%	\$248 100%	\$248 100%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained. t) Average response time of less than 2 minutes maintained.	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained. t) Average response time of less than 2 minutes maintained. u) % of warrant arrests booked into jail. v) Warrants are processed within 12 hours of receipt.	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained. t) Average response time of less than 2 minutes maintained. u) % of warrant arrests booked into jail. v) Warrants are processed within 12 hours of receipt.	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100% 100%
p) Ratio of service to attempts for civil process and orders. q) % of tax warrants executed. r) Average amount collected per tax warrant executed. Effectiveness Measures s) Safety of all building tenants and visitors maintained. t) Average response time of less than 2 minutes maintained. u) % of warrant arrests booked into jail. v) Warrants are processed within 12 hours of receipt. w) Successfully executed warrants are timely (within 7 days).	113.5% 84.5% \$248 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%	\$248 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%

Actual FY 2014 Budget FY 2015 Estimated FY 2016 Equation Py 2016 Equation Py 2016				Major	Ser	rvices			
Policing/Administrative Services provides for safe communities in Johnson County through community policing partner delivery of quality law enforcement services and provide for centralized support services for the Sheriff's Office. Agency Revenues \$ 1,150,368 \$ 1,090,417 \$ 1,090,417 \$ 1,069,284 \$ 1,069,284 Expenditures		_		_			=	_	2015-2016 %Change
Agency Revenues 1,150,368 1,090,417 1,090,417 1,069,284 1,069,284 1,069,284 21,105,824 21,1	ervice #1: Policing/Admi	nistr	rative Services						
Agency Revenues \$ 1,150,368 \$ 1,090,417 \$ 1,090,417 \$ 1,069,284 \$ 1,069,284 \$ 21,105,824 \$ 21,10									rships and
Expenditures 19,776,312 20,572,540 20,186,567 21,105,824 21,105,824 (20,036,540)			·						1 000/
Difference \$ (18,625,944) \$ (19,482,123) \$ (19,096,150) \$ (20,036,540) \$ (20,	- ·	Φ			Φ				-1.98%
Service #2: Laboratory Services	· ·	_			<u>_</u>				4.36%
Service #2: Laboratory Services Laboratory Services Laboratory Services provides high quality scientific analysis of biological, chemical, digital and physical evidence assordance of the county of the second in Johnson County.		\$			Ъ				4.69%
Laboratory Services provides high quality scientific analysis of biological, chemical, digital and physical evidence associations committed in Johnson County. Agency Revenues \$ 491,796 \$ 739,803 \$ 739,803 \$ 766,255 \$ 766,255 Expenditures	TE Positions		173.77	173.77		172.48	172.48	172.48	0.00%
Agency Revenues \$ 491,796 \$ 739,803 \$ 739,803 \$ 766,255 \$ 766,255 Expenditures \$ 4,899,730 \$ 5,076,053 \$ 5,142,077 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 5,418,487 \$ 6,407,934 \$ (4,407,934) \$ (4,336,250) \$ (4,402,274) \$ (4,652,232)	ervice #2: Laboratory Se	rvice	es						
Expenditures				ic analysis of bi	iolo	gical, chemical, o	digital and physica	l evidence asso	ociated with
Expenditures	gency Revenues	\$	491.796 \$	739.803	\$	739.803 \$	766.255 \$	766.255	3.45%
Difference File F	• •	+		•	~	,	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		5.10%
Service #3: Detention Services 39.00 39.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00		<u>_</u>			\$				5.37%
Detention Services contribute to the public safety and to the effective operation of the courts by providing for the secure and movement of persons charged with violations of state and municipal laws. Agency Revenues \$ 28,517,993 \$ 25,983,458 \$ 25,827,790 \$ 27,422,043 \$ 27,422		Ψ	• • • •		Ψ		• • •		0.00%
Detention Services contribute to the public safety and to the effective operation of the courts by providing for the secure and movement of persons charged with violations of state and municipal laws. Agency Revenues \$ 28,517,993 \$ 25,983,458 \$ 25,827,790 \$ 27,422,043 \$ 27,422,043 Expenditures \$ 37,656,622 \$ 39,944,385 \$ 39,059,977 \$ 41,632,429 \$ 41,632,429 \$ Difference \$ (9,138,629) \$ (13,960,927) \$ (13,232,187) \$ (14,210,386) \$ (14,210,386) \$ TE Positions \$ 377.73 \$ 377.73 \$ 377.73 \$ 374.73 \$ 375.05 \$ 375.05 \$ 28 Points									
Expenditures 37,656,622 39,944,385 39,059,977 41,632,429 41,632,429 Difference \$ (9,138,629) \$ (13,960,927) \$ (13,232,187) \$ (14,210,386) \$ (·	_				•	27.422.043 \$	27.422.043	5.81%
Difference \$\frac{(9,138,629)}{(9,138,629)} \\$ \frac{(13,960,927)}{(13,960,927)} \\$ \frac{(13,232,187)}{(13,232,187)} \\$ \frac{(14,210,386)}{(14,210,386)} \\$ \frac{(14,210,386)}{(14,210,386)} \\$ FTE Positions \text{377.73} \text{377.73} \text{377.73} \text{374.73} \text{375.05} 375.		·							6.18%
Service #4: Court Services 377.73 377.73 374.73 375.05 375.05 Court Services executes arrest warrants and civil court process issued by the District Courts and provide for a safe and courthouse environment. Agency Revenues \$ 452,830 \$ 539,067 \$ 365,500 \$ 657,911 \$ 657,911 \$ 657,911 \$ 657,911 Expenditures 6,136,571 6,727,826 6,771,323 7,339,232 7,339,232 7,339,232 7,339,232 Difference \$ (5,683,741) \$ (6,188,759) \$ (6,405,823) \$ (6,681,321) \$ (6,681,321) \$ (6,681,321) \$ (6,681,321) FTE Positions 66.00 66.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 Service #5: Coroner Services Coroner Services provides for the medical investigation of human deaths occurring in Johnson County and for the issued by the District Courts and provide for a safe and courthouse environment.	· ·	\$			<u>¢</u>			<u> </u>	0.107
Court Services executes arrest warrants and civil court process issued by the District Courts and provide for a safe and courthouse environment. Agency Revenues \$ 452,830 \$ 539,067 \$ 365,500 \$ 657,911 \$ 657,911 Expenditures	TITTERENCE			(13.900.921)	JD .	(13.232.187) \$	(14.210.386) \$	(14.210.386)	
Agency Revenues \$ 452,830 \$ 539,067 \$ 365,500 \$ 657,911 \$ 657,911 Expenditures \$ 6,136,571 6,727,826 6,771,323 7,339,232 7,339,232 Difference \$ (5,683,741) \$ (6,188,759) \$ (6,405,823) \$ (6,681,321) \$ (6,681,321) \$ FTE Positions 66.00 66.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 Service #5: Coroner Services					φ		• • •		6.88%
Expenditures	TE Positions	 S			Ψ		• • •		6.88%
Expenditures 6,136,571 6,727,826 6,771,323 7,339,232 7,339,232 Difference \$ (5,683,741) \$ (6,188,759) \$ (6,405,823) \$ (6,681,321) \$ (6,681,32	TE Positions ervice #4: Court Service ourt Services executes are		377.73	377.73		374.73	375.05	375.05	6.88% 0.09%
Difference \$\frac{(5,683,741)}{(5,683,741)}\$\$\frac{(6,188,759)}{(6,188,759)}\$\$\frac{(6,405,823)}{(6,405,823)}\$\$\frac{(6,681,321)}{(6,681,321)}\$\$\frac{(6,681,321)}{(6,681,321)}\$\$ FTE Positions 66.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 Service #5: Coroner Services Coroner Services provides for the medical investigation of human deaths occurring in Johnson County and for the issue the coroner Services are considered by the coroner Services are considered by the coroner Services are considered by the coroner Services are coroner Services.	TE Positions ervice #4: Court Service: court Services executes are courthouse environment.	rest v	377.73 warrants and civil	377.73	issu	374.73	375.05 t Courts and provi	375.05 de for a safe ar	6.88% 0.09% nd orderly
FTE Positions 66.00 66.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 69.00 Service #5: Coroner Services Coroner Services provides for the medical investigation of human deaths occurring in Johnson County and for the issu	ervice #4: Court Services court Services executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues	rest v	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$	377.73 court process i 539,067	issu	374.73 ued by the Distric 365,500 \$	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45%
Coroner Services provides for the medical investigation of human deaths occurring in Johnson County and for the issu	ervice #4: Court Services court Services executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues xpenditures	rest v	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826	issu \$	374.73 ued by the Distric 365,500 \$ 6,771,323	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74%
·	ervice #4: Court Service: court Services executes and ourthouse environment. gency Revenues expenditures difference	rest v	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759)	issu \$	374.73 ued by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321)	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74% 4.12%
Signation permits and death ocitindates.	ervice #4: Court Services court Services executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues xpenditures ifference TE Positions	\$	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759)	issu \$	374.73 ued by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321)	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45%
Agency Revenues \$ 44,526 \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000	ervice #4: Court Services court Services executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues xpenditures ifference TE Positions ervice #5: Coroner Services coroner Services provides	\$ \$ ces	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$ 66.00	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759) 66.00	\$ \$	374.73 ned by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$ 69.00	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$ 69.00	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321) 69.00	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74% 4.12% 0.00%
Expenditures 528,836 593,610 593,610 593,410 593,410	ervice #4: Court Services court Services executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues xpenditures ifference TE Positions ervice #5: Coroner Services remation permits and deat	\$ \$ ces for th	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$ 66.00 ne medical investirtificates.	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759) 66.00 gation of huma	\$ \$ n de	374.73 and by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$ 69.00 eaths occurring in	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$ 69.00	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321) 69.00 and for the iss	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74% 4.12% 0.00%
Difference \$ (484,310) \$ (553,610) \$ (553,610) \$ (553,410) \$	revice #4: Court Services court Services executes are court Nervices executes are courthouse environment. gency Revenues xpenditures ifference TE Positions ervice #5: Coroner Services remation permits and deat gency Revenues	\$ \$ ces for th	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$ 66.00 ne medical investirificates. 44,526 \$	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759) 66.00 gation of huma 40,000	\$ \$ n de	374.73 aled by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$ 69.00 eaths occurring in 40,000 \$	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$ 69.00 n Johnson County	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321) 69.00 and for the iss 40,000	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74% 4.12% 0.00% uance of
FTE Positions 0 0 0 0 0 0	revice #4: Court Service: court Services executes and ourthouse environment. gency Revenues expenditures outlines outli	s ces	377.73 warrants and civil 452,830 \$ 6,136,571 (5,683,741) \$ 66.00 ne medical investirtificates. 44,526 \$ 528,836	377.73 court process i 539,067 6,727,826 (6,188,759) 66.00 gation of huma 40,000 593,610	\$ \$ n d	374.73 aled by the District 365,500 \$ 6,771,323 (6,405,823) \$ 69.00 eaths occurring in 40,000 \$ 593,610	375.05 t Courts and provi 657,911 \$ 7,339,232 (6,681,321) \$ 69.00 n Johnson County 40,000 \$ 593,410	375.05 de for a safe ar 657,911 7,339,232 (6,681,321) 69.00 and for the iss 40,000 593,410	6.88% 0.09% and orderly 44.45% 7.74% 4.12% 0.00% uance of

		Capital Improvement Program (CIP)		
Title:	Coroner Facility		Year Placed:	2016

Description: The purpose of this project is to provide funding for a study to investigate organizational and physical options for a facility for the Coroner that would be owned and managed by the County with the goal to provide the highest quality and most consistent forensic pathology services and appropriate morgue facilities for the citizens of Johnson County. Included in the FY 2016 CIP is \$150,000 for the preliminary study only. The Board subsequently took action to request that the feasibility study begin in 2015 and will be managed by Facilities staff along with the Sheriff's Office staff.

Capital Expenditures		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Project Total
Preliminary Studies	-\$-	150,000 \$	\$	\$	\$	 \$	150,000
Design and Construction	\$	\$	\$	\$	1,000,000 \$	10,500,000 \$	11,500,000
Equipment	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Total	\$	150,000 \$	\$	\$	1,000,000 \$	10,500,000 \$	11,650,000

Operating Expenditures	Total FTE	2016	2017	2018	2019
Personnel					
Contractual	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Commodities	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Capital	\$	\$	\$	\$	
On-going Total	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Start Up	\$	\$	\$	\$	500,000
TOTAL	\$	\$	\$	\$	500,000

Agency:
Strategic Program: Sheriff Forfeited
Forfeited Property Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Property

Sheriff Forfeited Property	Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services					Property
-	Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015 - 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	% Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$231,580	\$231,580	\$871,640	\$871,640	276.39%
Miscellaneous	\$444,835	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	\$60,000	0.00%
Interest	\$4,690	\$7,968	\$4,167	\$4,387	\$4,387	5.28%
Total Other Agency Revenues	449,525	299,548	295,747	936,027	936,027	216.50%
a) Total Agency Revenues	449,525	299,548	295,747	936,027	936,027	216.50%
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$1,546	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Commodities	\$58,680	\$299,548	\$295,747	\$936,027	\$936,027	216.50%
Subtotal	60,226	299,548	295,747	936,027	936,027	216.50%
Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	60,226	299,548	295,747	936,027	936,027	216.50%
b) Total Expenditures	60,226	299,548	295,747	936,027	936,027	216.50%
Difference: b) minus a)	389,299	0	0	0	0	
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
100011.2.00			0.00	0.00	0.00	

Agency Mission

To provide non-tax funds for the purchase of new law enforcement services and equipment.

Budget Highlights

Revenues from Sheriff Forfeited Property can only be used for public safety purposes.

This fund does not receive any revenue from property taxes.

Fund:

Fund: Strategic Program: Agency: Weapons Licensure Public Safety, Judicial & Emergency Services Weapons Licensure

Veapons Licensure	Public Safety, Ju	Weapons Licensure				
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$61,353	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	(100.00%)
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$61,353	\$75,000	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	(100.00%)
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$101,284	\$101,284	\$60,439	\$60,439	(40.33%)
Total Other Agency Revenues	0	101,284	101,284	60,439	60,439	(40.33%)
a) Total Agency Revenues	61,353	176,284	176,284	60,439	60,439	(65.71%)
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$2,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Commodities	\$90,900	\$176,284	\$176,284	\$60,439	\$60,439	(65.71%)
Subtotal	92,900	176,284	176,284	60,439	60,439	(65.71%)
Intrafund Transfers	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	92,900	176,284	176,284	60,439	60,439	(65.71%)
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
b) Total Expenditures	92,900	176,284	176,284	60,439	60,439	(65.71%)
Difference: b) minus a)	(31,547)	0	0	0	0	
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

Agency Mission

To provide non-tax funds for the purchase of new law enforcement and criminal prosecution services. This fund is governed by the provisions of KSA 75-7c01 et.seq.

Budget Highlights

Revenues from Weapons Licensure can only be used for public safety purposes.

This fund does not receive any revenue from property taxes.

111 Funa	Public Safety, Ji					911 Fur
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$415,022	\$422,338	\$970,882	\$970,882	129.88%
Interest	\$31,134	\$34,978	\$27,662	\$29,118	\$29,118	5.26%
Total Other Agency Revenues	31,134	450,000	450,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	122.22%
a) Total Agency Revenues	31,134	450,000	450,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	122.22%
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$2,893,231	\$3,250,000	\$3,250,000	\$3,800,000	\$3,800,000	16.92%
Commodities	\$345,192	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Capital Outlay	\$0	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000	0.00%
Subtotal	3,238,423	4,450,000	4,450,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	12.36%
Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	3,238,423	4,450,000	4,450,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	12.36%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
b) Total Expenditures	3,238,423	4,450,000	4,450,000	5,000,000	5,000,000	12.36%
Difference: b) minus a)	(3,207,289)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	0.00%
Tax Revenues						
Other Taxes	\$4,063,613	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	0.00%
Total Tax Revenues	4,063,613	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	4,000,000	0.00%
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_

To provide funding for enhanced 9-1-1 services throughout Johnson County.

Budget Highlights

Effective January 1, 2012, a statutory 911 fee of \$0.53 per month for each communication device capable of accessing 911 was collected by the Kansas Local Collection Point Administrator and remitted in accordance with the statutory distribution formula to each Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) jurisdiction providing 911 service to its constituents. Under Interlocal Cooperation Agreements between the County and the cities operating PSAPS, such 911 fees will be received by the County and administered in separate accounts for each jurisdiction. Expenditures are for costs of the 911 system, for equipment used to receive, process and distribute 911 calls to emergency responders, and for maintenance and operation of the Countywide Radio System. Reserve funds are estimated to be \$3,914,887 on December 31, 2016 and will be used for maintenance and enhancements to the 9-1-1 and Countywide Radio System.

11 Telephone Fund	Public Safety, Ju	911 Telephone Fun				
	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 201 % Change
Agency Revenues						_
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total Agency Fees & Charges		\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	<u>-</u>
Han of Commission	ФО.	#4 004 040	Ф 7 00 00 7	# 000 005	#200 00 F	(04.000()
Use of Carryover	\$0 \$0.005	\$1,234,640	\$766,607	\$292,835	\$292,835	(61.80%)
Interest	\$8,695	\$23,184	\$7,726	\$8,132	\$8,132	5.25%
Total Other Agency Revenues	8,695	1,257,824	774,333	300,967	300,967	(61.13%)
a) Total Agency Revenues	8,695	1,257,824	774,333	300,967	300,967	(61.13%)
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$232,554	\$460,443	\$468,169	\$300,967	\$300,967	(35.71%)
Commodities	\$571,865	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Capital Outlay	\$0	\$600,001	\$108,784	\$0	\$0	(100.00%
Subtotal	804,419	1,060,444	576,953	300,967	300,967	(47.84%)
Interfund Transfers	\$0	\$197,380	\$197,380	\$0	\$0	(100.00%
Subtotal	0	197,380	197,380	0	0	(100.00%
Expenditures Subtotal	804,419	1,257,824	774,333	300,967	300,967	(61.13%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
b) Total Expenditures	804,419	1,257,824	774,333	300,967	300,967	(61.13%
Difference: b) minus a)	(795,724)	0	0	0	0	-
T D						
<u>Tax Revenues</u> Other Taxes	\$31,275	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	_
Total Tax Revenues	31,275	0	0	0	0	-
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-

To provide funding for enhanced 9-1-1 services throughout Johnson County.

Budget Highlights

This agency does not receive any revenues from property taxes. Until December 31, 2011, the 9-1-1 charge on wireline telephone service was set at 2% of the base tariff rate billed by the telephone carriers. Beginning January 1, 2012, this fee was replaced with a new statutory 911 fee of \$.53 per month established by the 2011 legislature under Senate Bill #50. The new 911 fee of \$.53 is deposited into the authorized 911 Fund approved by the BOCC. Remaining funds in the 9-1-1 Telephone account are used for the costs of the 911 system, for equipment used in the reception and processing of 911 calls by public safety dispatch centers, and for public safety radio system maintenance and equipment. Reserve funds are estimated to be \$0 as of December 31, 2016.

911 Wireless Telephone Pul	blic Safety, Ju		911 Wireless Telephone			
-	Actual FY 2014	Budget FY 2015	Estimated FY 2015	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	2015 - 2016 % Change
Agency Revenues						
Licenses and Permits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Use of Carryover	\$0	\$104,926	\$178,783	\$97,622	\$97,622	(45.40%)
Interest	\$2,543	\$8,793	\$2,259	\$2,378	\$2,378	5.27%
Total Other Agency Revenues	2,543	113,719	181,042	100,000	100,000	(44.76%)
a) Total Agency Revenues	2,543	113,719	181,042	100,000	100,000	(44.76%)
Expenditures						
Contractual Services	\$26,987	\$0	\$100,560	\$100,000	\$100,000	(0.56%)
Commodities	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Capital Outlay	\$0	\$113,719	\$80,482	\$0	\$0	(100.00%)
Subtotal	126,987	113,719	181,042	100,000	100,000	(44.76%)
Miscellaneous	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	-
Expenditures Subtotal	126,987	113,719	181,042	100,000	100,000	(44.76%)
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
b) Total Expenditures	126,987	113,719	181,042	100,000	100,000	(44.76%)
Difference: b) minus a)	(124,444)	0	0	0	0	
	(127,777)					
FTE Positions						
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Other FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Total FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

To provide funding for enhanced 9-1-1 services throughout Johnson County.

Budget Highlights

This agency does not receive any revenues from property taxes. The fee assessed under law through December 31, 2011 was a \$.25 Local 911 fee and a \$.25 State Grant 911 fee collected monthly for each wireless and VoIP phone based in Johnson County. Beginning January 1, 2012, this fee was replaced with a new statutory 911 fee of \$.53 per month established by the 2011 legislature under Senate Bill #50. The new 911 fee of \$.53 is deposited into the authorized 911 Fund approved by the BOCC. Remaining funds in the 911 Wireless Telephone account are used in accordance with statutory restrictions for the cost of providing wireless and VoIP 911 service and for equipment directly related to the reception and processing of wireless and VoIP 911 calls by public safety dispatch centers, and for public safety radio system equipment and maintenance. Reserve funds are estimated to be \$0 as of December 31, 2016.

runa.		
Conoral	E,	ını

General Fund F	Public Safety, Ju	idicial & Emei	& Communications			
	Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015 - 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	% Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$85,936	\$260,125	\$81,260	\$81,260	\$81,260	0.00%
Use of Assets	\$55,171	\$52,567	\$54,210	\$54,210	\$54,210	0.00%
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$141,107	\$312,692	\$135,470	\$135,470	\$135,470	0.00%
Intergovernmental	\$101,411	\$92,552	\$92,552	\$92,552	\$92,552	0.00%
Miscellaneous	\$244,085	\$322,000	\$357,625	\$357,625	\$357,625	0.00%
Interfund Transfers	\$0	\$197,380	\$197,380	\$0	\$0	(100.00%)
Total Other Agency Revenues	345,496	611,932	647,557	450,177	450,177	(30.48%)
a) Total Agency Revenues	486,603	924,624	783,027	585,647	585,647	(25.21%)
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$3,956,403	\$4,220,235	\$4,362,730	\$4,842,841	\$4,695,226	7.62%
Contractual Services	\$475,211	\$597,003	\$611,358	\$539,996	\$538,946	(11.84%)
Commodities	\$175,235	\$159,435	\$146,801	\$147,301	\$145,801	(0.68%)
Subtotal	4,606,849	4,976,673	5,120,889	5,530,138	5,379,973	5.06%
Transfer to Equipment Reserv	e \$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,000	-
Transfer to Capital Projects	\$0	\$197,380	\$197,380	\$0	\$0	(100.00%)
Subtotal	50,000	197,380	197,380	50,000	50,000	(74.67%)
Expenditures Subtotal	4,656,849	5,174,053	5,318,269	5,580,138	5,429,973	2.10%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$6,404	\$6,404	\$2,953	\$2,953	(53.89%)
Risk Management Charges	\$25,466	\$13,774	\$13,774	\$27,532	\$27,532	99.88%
Cost Allocation	\$1,386,503	\$1,111,094	\$1,111,094	\$1,165,838	\$1,165,838	4.93%
b) Total Expenditures	6,068,818	6,305,325	6,449,541	6,776,461	6,626,296	2.74%
Difference: b) minus a)	(5,582,215)	(5,380,701)	(5,666,514)	(6,190,814)	(6,040,649)	6.60%
FTE Positions		•	•	•	•	
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
Grant Funded FTEs	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00%
Other FTEs	46.00	46.00	46.00	50.00	48.00	4.35%
Total FTE Positions	47.00	47.00	47.00	51.00	49.00	4.26%
		00	00	000		

To provide for effective countywide emergency services through the provision of professional 911 and public safety communication services and infrastructure, and through the administration of a comprehensive, countywide emergency management program.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers, Vehicle Equivalent Units, Risk Management charges and cost allocation, are budgeted to increase by \$259,084 (5.06%) compared to FY 2015. The increase is due to: 1) 2.0 Communications Specialists, and 2) the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

Transfers to Equipment Reserve are reinstated at \$50,000.

FTEs for FY 2016 are budgeted to increase by 2.00 to 49.00 FTEs due to the addition of two Communications Specialists.

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

	Agency Goals & Performance Measures										
Service Delivery Goals and Associated Performance Measures											
<u>Op</u>	erations	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016							
1)	Ensure that all emergency calls for service are processed efficiently.										
	(A) % of all emergency calls processed meeting NFPA standards of 60 seconds or less (Goal 95%).	97%	97%	97%							
	(B) % of Medical calls processed meeting NFPA standards of 60 seconds or less (Goal 95%).	97%	97%	97%							
2)	Ensure that all emergency calls for service are processed effectively.										
	(A) Ensure that a minimum of 3% of medical calls are reviewed for quality.	1%	2%	3%							
	(B) Ensure that emergency calls reviewed meet customer service compliance standards of 98%.	99%	99%	99%							
	(C) Ensure that a minimum of 3% of callers are surveyed via customer satisfaction survey.	3%	3%	3%							
	(D) Maintain a % customer survey satisfaction of 99% or higher.	99%	99%	99%							
3)	Continue developing/providing continuing education training in an effective manner.										
	(A) Utilization of Emergency Communications Center (ECC) staff to provide continuing education for ECC staff based on QA results and relevant topics (% of continuing education training provided by ECC staff).	72%	75%	80%							

	Output and Efficiency Measures Actual Estimated Estimated										
Outp	uts and Efficiency Measures	2014	2015	2016							
1)	# of phone calls answered by dispatchers.	115,230	116,382	117,546							
2)	# of 911 calls.	41,326	45,459	50,005							
3)	# of calls for service.	55,984	56,544	57,109							
4)	# of calls for service dispatched.	53,867	54,406	54,950							
5)	# of emergency medical calls dispatched.	42,019	42,439	42,863							
6)	% of flagged high priority medical calls received.	38%	38%	38%							
7)	% of flagged high priority fire calls received.	4%	4%	4%							
8)	Maintain response plan changes (# of response changes).	150	250	200							

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

	Agency Goals & Performance Measu	ires											
	Service Delivery Goals and Associated Performance Measures												
Co	Countywide Communications & Technical InfrastructureActualEstimatedEstimated201420152016												
1) Provide a shared radio system infrastructure for use by government agencies.													
	(A) Maintain radio system uptime (% of uptime radio system).	100%	100%	100%									
	(B) Maintain jail radio system infrastructure (% of uptime).	100%	100%	100%									
2)	Maintain operational effectiveness, refresh and updates to IT systems to include CAD & Station Alerting.	99%	99%	99%									
3)	Maintain the quality and reliability of radio system services.												
	(A) Program X# radio devices annually for users.	8100	4000	3500									

	Output and Efficiency Measure	es											
Outpu	Outputs and Efficiency MeasuresActualEstimatedEstimated2014020152016												
1)	# of radios on trunked radio systems.	8,100	8,200	8,300									
2)	# of radios on jail radio system.	312	344	369									
3)	# of Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS) supported.	30	30	30									
4)	# of Generators supported.	13	13	13									
5)	# of Servers supported.												
a)	Physical host servers.	18	8	6									
b)	Virtual servers ran by host servers.	11	28	31									
6)	# of busy responses to users on trunked radio system per month.	7	8	9									
7)	Radio programming savings through self-support.	\$571,300	\$288,000	\$255,500									

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

	Agency Goals & Performance Measure	es		
	Service Delivery Goals and Associated Performan	ce Measures	3	
Emer	gency Management	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
•	evelop and maintain the County's all-hazard emergency planning ogram.			
(A	Coordinate the development & maintenance of countywide emergency plans (Hazard Analysis, CEOP, Mitigation, Debris Management, LEPC, etc.), ensuring they are updated on schedule and meet 100% of the requirements (1,092).	100%	100%	100%
ca	evelop and maintain Johnson County Government's disaster response apabilities and ensure that the County Emergency Operations Center (FOC) is operationally ready.			
·) Ensure 100% of EOC positions have at least three individuals trained and ready to staff the position for EOC activations.	63%	80%	95%
3) De	evelop and maintain the County's community preparedness program.			
(A	Increase the number of individuals educated/trained to prepare for disasters increases by 10% annually.	1,842	2,026	2,228
•	evelop and maintain Johnson County's Government Preparedness rogram.			
(A) Develop and maintain an effective workplace crisis planning program, ensuring 100% of Johnson County Government buildings have an Emergency Response Plan in place.	62%	68%	74%
(B	Coordinate the County's Workplace Safety Coordinator Program, maintaining one Coordinator per department in every building they regularly occupy.	100%	100%	100%
(C	Develo p and maintain the County's employee emergency notification system; ensuring 100% of the County's employees can be notified in an emergency.	100%	100%	100%
(D	Develop and maintain the County's Continuity of Operations Program (COOP), ensuring 100% of departments have a COOP plan.			
		16%	80%	95%
	Output and Efficiency Measures			
	uts and Efficiency Measures	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
1)	# of trained EOC Responders.	54	68	81
2)	# of individuals educated/trained to prepare for disasters.	1,842	2,026	2,228
3)	# of community education & outreach events conducted.	24	27	28
4)	# of connections through social media.	6,087	6,695	7,365
6)	# of emergency management exercises conducted.	20	20	20
7)	# of county department COOP plans established.	6	30	36
8)	# of workplace safety coordinator trainings provided.	10	10	10

Agency:
Emergency Management & Communications

		Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outputs	and Efficiency Measures (con't)	2014	2015	2016
9)	# of facility emergency response plans reviewed/updated.	33	36	39
10)	# of new facility emergency response plans created.	3	3	3
11)	# of facility evacuation drills conducted and evaluated.	14	16	18
12)	# of trained Workplace Safety Coordinators.	136	136	136
13)	# of JC Employees in emergency notification system.	4,371	4,371	4,371
14)	% of emergency planning requirements (1,092) met.	1,092	1,092	1,092
15)	% of time critical systems are operational (8,740 hrs).	100%	100%	100%
16)	% of training and exercise requirements met (86).	100%	100%	100%
17)	% of time employee notification capability operational (8,740 hrs).	100%	100%	100%

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

Major Services													
	-	Actual FY 2014	_	Budget FY 2015	_	Estimated FY 2015	_	Requested FY 2016	_	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change		
Service #1: Emerge	ency	/ Communic	atic	ons									
Emergency Commu the responses and re								-		-	-		
Agency Revenues	\$	301,884	\$	566,325	\$	208,310	\$	188,013	\$	188,013	-10.80%		
Expenditures		3,192,656		3,059,847		2,855,041		3,315,479		3,165,314	9.80%		
Difference FTE Positions	\$	(2,890,772) 32.00	\$	(2,493,522) 32.00	\$	(2,646,731) 29.00	\$	(3,127,466) 33.00	\$	(2,977,301) 31.00	11.10% 6.45%		
Service #2: County	wid	e Communic	cati	ons									
Countywide Commu use by over 40 local		•		•	ge	ncy radio and	l da	ata communica	atio	ns system infr	astructure for		
Agency Revenues	\$	83,401	\$	265,747	\$	317,290	\$	119,910	\$	119,910	-164.61%		
Expenditures		932,686		1,508,732		1,504,416		1,275,681		1,275,681	-17.93%		
Difference	\$	(849,285)	\$	(1,242,985)	\$	(1,187,126)	\$	(1,155,771)	\$	(1,155,771)	-2.71%		
FTE Positions		9.00		9.00		10.00		10.00		10.00	0.00%		
The Emergency Mar to, and recover from management laws a	ı em	nergencies/dis				•		•			•		
Agency Revenues	\$	101,318	\$	92,552	\$	92,552	\$	92,552	\$	92,552	0.00%		
Expenditures		531,507		605,474		593,213		609,943		609,943	2.74%		
Difference	\$	(430,189)	\$	(512,922)	\$	(500,661)	\$	(517,391)	\$	(517,391)	3.23%		
FTE Positions		6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00	0.00%		
Service #4: EMS M	edic	al Director S	Sys	tem Program									
The EMS Medical D	Med	d-Act, and the		•		•		ntered, evidence ality patient ca			-		
event in Johnson Co	Jurity												
-	\$	0	\$	0	\$	164,875	\$	185,172	\$	185,172	10.96%		
event in Johnson Co		0	\$	0	\$	164,875 365,599	\$	185,172 379,035	\$	185,172 379,035	10.96% 3.54%		
event in Johnson Co Agency Revenues			_	0	\$ - \$				_				

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

		ement & Communi Additional Resource		
	Requested FY 2016	Budget FY 2016	Requested FY 2017	Projected FY 2017
Four Emergency Request #1: Specialist I posit	Communications ions	Priority: 1	Major Service:	Emergency Communications
This request is for four addition which are needed to establish address increasing overtime technical advances and standa and certification; manage the program; administer the comp Director and key stakeholders direction to the Tactical Comvehicle that is deployed to major	n adequate fixed posi- costs and to provide rds; performance mar 9 month initial dispat- rehensive and growing in user agencies; ma munications Team ar	ition relief factor for s sufficient staff capal nagement of dispatche tcher training program g Quality Assurance F intain a 911 Public Ec nd usage of the Cour	taffing. These posi- bility to meet grow r continuing emerge a and the continuin Program in conjunct ducation outreach p nty Communication	tions are needed to ing requirements of ency medical training g in-service training ion with the Medica rogram, and provide
Agency Revenues Expenditures	\$ 294,754	\$ 0 \$ 150,165_	0 290,454	\$ 0 158,590
Difference	\$ (294,754)	\$ (150,165)	(290,454)	\$ (158,590)

Agency:

		En	nergeno	су Ма	Age nagem		y: t & Commı	ıni	cations				
				_			t Program (
Title:	Countywide Ra	adio Sy	stem C	hanne	I Expan	sio	n				Year Place	d:	2016
Description:	This project wo frequencies to capable of accethe system of growth/access in the 2016 but	twenty- essing to n a ty to the s	four (24 the syste pical w). The em and ork da	e radio s d there a ay. Th	yst are e f	em currently approximate following re	/ ha ely t que	as over five thirteen hun est is to a	tho dre	ousand diffe d (1,300) ra ommodate	rent dios wha	radio users affiliated to t continued
Capital Exper	nditures	FY	2016	FΥ	2017		FY 2018		FY 2019		FY 2020		Project Tota
Construction		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Equipment			350,000	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	2,350,00
Total	;	\$ 2,3	350,000	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	2,350,00
Operating Ex	penditures	Tota	al FTE	FY	2018		FY 2019		FY 2020		FY 2021		
Personnel								_		-			
Contractual	;	\$		\$	20,008	\$	20,008	\$	20,008	\$	20,008		
Commodities	,	\$		\$.,,,,	\$	-,	\$	-,	\$	-,		
Capital	,	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			
On-going Tota	d :	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			
Start Up		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			
		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		*		Ψ			
TOTAL	;	\$		\$	20,008	\$	20,008	\$	20,008	\$	20,008		
	Johnson Coun system with a r that was imple will remedy ex responder and	new systemented isting n	stem tha I through otificatio	t would nout the on and	d be com e Count vendor	npa ty ir sup	tible with the n June 2010 oply problem	e ex). ns,	xisting digita The new Fir improve res	I Pź e/E spoi	25 countywi MS station nse time as	de r ale we	adio system rting system II as ensure
Capital Exper	nditures	FY	2016	<u>FY</u>	2017		FY 2018	_	FY 2019	_	FY 2020		Project Tota
Construction		\$ \$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Equipment		\$		\$		\$	1,123,364			\$		\$	1,123,36
Total		\$		\$		\$	1,123,364	\$		\$		\$	1,123,36
Operating Ex Personnel	penditures	Tota	al FTE	FY	2018		FY 2019	_	FY 2020	_	FY 2021	-	
Contractual		c		c		Ф	104 701	Ф	100 606	Ф	266,191		
Commodities		\$ \$		φ \$		φ \$	104,781	φ 5	188,606	φ \$	200,191		
Capital		\$		\$		\$		\$					
On-going Tota	d :	\$ \$		\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$		\$		\$ \$			
Start Up		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$			
TOTAL		\$		\$		\$	104,781	\$	188,606	\$	266,191		

Agency: Emergency Management & Communications

	Capital Improvement Program ((CIP)	
Title:	UPS and HVAC Systems Upgrade	Year Placed:	2016

Description:

This project would upgrade the UPS and HVAC Systems due to two major additions currently planned for the data center: one is the relocation of the existing computer equipment currently in the Administration Building and the other is a State of Kansas system that is planned to be installed in the data center. The current electrical system serving the data center is designed to be very reliable with multiple redundancies and back-up power systems. The service capacity can serve the anticipated additional loads without any upgrades to the system; however, the load from additional server equipment planned cannot occur without a major upgrade and increased cooling capacity. This project was requested for 2016 funding and is included in the 2016 budget.

Capital Expenditures		FY 2016	FY 2017		FY 2018	FY 2019		FY 2020	Project Total
Construction	_\$	<u> </u>		\$ -		\$ 	\$ -		\$
Equipment	\$	1,525,000 \$:	\$		\$ Ş	\$		\$ 1,525,000
Total	\$	1,525,000 \$;	\$		\$ \$	\$		\$ 1,525,000
Operating Expenditures		Total FTE	FY 2018		FY 2019	FY 2020		FY 2021	
Personnel							_		
Contractual	\$	\$;	\$		\$ 9	\$		
Commodities	\$	\$;	\$		\$ 9	\$		
Capital	\$	\$;	\$		\$ 9	\$		
On-going Total	\$	\$;	\$		\$ Ç	\$		
Start Up	\$	\$;	\$		\$ \$	\$		
TOTAL	\$	\$:	\$		\$ 9	\$		

Title: Countywide Communications Center Technology Year Placed: 2018

Description:

This project would replace and enhance the specialized equipment to include servers, PC's, peripherals and specialized audio visual and electronic equipment used in the County Communications Center. It funds the replacement of components and systems as needed to keep them current, maintainable, and at peak operating performance. This project is essential to public safety by maintaining and improving a reliable communications infrastructure for receiving and processing emergency calls and for dispatching needed resources in response to those calls, thereby meeting the emergency response and care needs of the public. This project was requested for 2016-2020 funding and is placed in 2018-2020.

Capital Expenditures		FY 2016	FY 2017		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Project Total
Construction	-\$	\$		-\$	\$	\$	\$	
Equipment	\$	\$		\$	180,000 \$	300,000 \$	320,000 \$	800,000
Total	\$	\$		\$	180,000 \$	300,000 \$	320,000 \$	800,000
Operating Expenditures		Total FTE	FY 2018		FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Personnel								
Contractual	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		
Commodities	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		
Capital	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		
On-going Total	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		
Start Up	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		
TOTAL	\$	\$		\$	\$	\$		

Agency: **Emergency Management & Communications**

Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Title: **Countywide Radio System** Year Placed: 2018

Description: This project is the maintenance and MDT replacements for the Countywide Radio System Channel Expansion CIP. This maintenance cannot be funded from 911 due to shrinking revenues. This project

was requested for 2018-2020 and is placed in 2018-2020.

Capital Expenditures	FY 2016	FY 2017	 FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Project Total
Construction	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$	\$	
Equipment	\$ \$		\$ 225,000	300,000	400,000 \$	925,000
Total	\$ \$		\$ 225,000 \$	300,000 \$	400,000 \$	925,000
Operating Expenditures	Total FTE	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	
Personnel						
Contractual	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		
Commodities	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		
Capital	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		
On-going Total	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		
Start Up	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		
TOTAL	\$ \$		\$ \$	\$		

General i unu i i	Actual	Budget	Estimated	Requested	Budget	2015 - 2016
	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2016	% Change
Agency Revenues						
Charges for Service	\$7,263,604	\$7,235,466	\$7,474,717	\$7,624,951	\$7,624,951	2.01%
Total Agency Fees & Charges	\$7,263,604	\$7,235,466	\$7,474,717	\$7,624,951	\$7,624,951	2.01%
Miscellaneous	\$60,739	\$37,000	\$37,000	\$37,000	\$37,000	0.00%
Total Other Agency Revenues	60,739	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000	0.00%
a) Total Agency Revenues	7,324,343	7,272,466	7,511,717	7,661,951	7,661,951	2.00%
Expenditures						
Personnel	\$13,249,432	\$14,194,170	\$14,165,024	\$14,779,350	\$14,779,350	4.34%
Contractual Services	\$961,607	\$1,384,188	\$1,384,188	\$1,244,343	\$1,244,343	(10.10%)
Commodities	\$1,215,775	\$1,117,653	\$1,117,653	\$1,212,498	\$1,212,498	8.49%
Subtotal	15,426,814	16,696,011	16,666,865	17,236,191	17,236,191	3.42%
Miscellaneous	\$49	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-
Transfer to Equipment Reserve		\$831,781	\$831,781	\$821,497	\$821,497	(1.24%)
Transfer to Capital Projects	\$616,400	\$116,400	\$116,400	\$460,698	\$460,698	295.79%
Subtotal	1,235,557	948,181	948,181	1,282,195	1,282,195	35.23%
Expenditures Subtotal	16,662,371	17,644,192	17,615,046	18,518,386	18,518,386	5.13%
Vehicle Equivalent Units	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	, <u> </u>
Risk Management Charges	\$52,453	\$27,670		\$46,623	\$46,623	
Cost Allocation	\$1,221,048	\$1,124,445	\$1,124,445	\$1,262,370	\$1,262,370	12.27%
b) Total Expenditures	17,935,872	18,796,307	18,767,161	19,827,379	19,827,379	5.65%
Difference: b) minus a)	(10,611,529)	(11,523,841)	(11,255,444)	(12,165,428)	(12,165,428)	8.08%
FTE Positions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Fee Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
Grant Funded FTEs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	- (0.4E0/)
Other FTEs	139.53	139.53	139.53	136.53	136.53	(2.15%)
Total FTE Positions	139.53	139.53	139.53	136.53	136.53	(2.15%)

Med-Act's mission is to provide and assure the highest level of emergency medical services in an effective, caring and professional manner.

Budget Highlights

Total expenditures for FY 2016, excluding transfers and Risk Management charges, are budgeted to increase by \$569,326 (3.42%) compared to FY 2015. The increase is due to the budgeted salary and benefit increases included in the budget parameters.

Transfers to Equipment Reserve decrease to \$821,497 due to the net effect of eliminating a one-time increase of \$212,673 and an ongoing increase of \$202,389.

Transfers to Capital Projects are budgeted at \$460,698 after an increase of \$344,298 for one-time station relocation in 2016.

FTEs for FY 2016 decrease to 136.53 with the conversion of 3.0 FTE to holiday/overtime pay.

	Agency Goals & Objectives								
Service De	livery Goals and Associated Objectives	Associated PMs:							
1) Meet bes	st practice compliance targets for emergency response.								
(A)	Ambulance response to meet NFPA standards.	f							
(B)	Paramedic response to meet NFPA standards.	g							
2) Improve	cardiac arrest survivability in the community.								
(A)	AED deployments.	h							
(B)	Increase bystander CPR.	i							
(C)	Improved patient outcomes.	e,j							
3) Build trus	st and confidence from patients served by Med-Act.	k							
4) Good ste	ewards of resources.	a,b,c,d,l,m							

Agency Key Performance Measures (PMs)

Output	Actual 2014	Estimated 2015	Estimated 2016
a) User fee charges.	\$10,352,000	\$10,663,500	\$10,983,400
b) User fees collected.	\$6,804,481	\$7,008,600	\$7,218,800
c) Emergency events answered.	37,195	38,300	39,460
Efficiency/Cost Measures			
d) Ambulance maintenance cost per mile.	\$0.48	\$0.46	\$0.46
a) / imbalance maintenance cost per mile.			
e) Work related injuries with lost time per month.	0.75	0.75	0.75
·	0.75 94.0%	0.75 94%	0.75 93%
e) Work related injuries with lost time per month. Effectiveness Measures			
Effectiveness Measures f) % of emergency ambulance response within 10 minutes. g) % of emergency paramedic response within 9 minutes. h) % of patients who received AED before EMS arrival.	94.0%	94%	93%
Effectiveness Measures f) % of emergency ambulance response within 10 minutes. g) % of emergency paramedic response within 9 minutes.	94.0% 91.8%	94% 92%	93% 91%
Effectiveness Measures f) % of emergency ambulance response within 10 minutes. g) % of emergency paramedic response within 9 minutes. h) % of patients who received AED before EMS arrival. b) % of cardiac arrest patients receiving bystander CPR.	94.0% 91.8% 12.5%	94% 92% 15%	93% 91% 18%
Effectiveness Measures f) % of emergency ambulance response within 10 minutes. g) % of emergency paramedic response within 9 minutes. h) % of patients who received AED before EMS arrival. g) % of cardiac arrest patients receiving bystander CPR. g) % of ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia	94.0% 91.8% 12.5% 18.8%	94% 92% 15% 20%	93% 91% 18% 22%
Effectiveness Measures f) % of emergency ambulance response within 10 minutes. g) % of emergency paramedic response within 9 minutes. h) % of patients who received AED before EMS arrival. f) % of cardiac arrest patients receiving bystander CPR. f) % of ventricular fibrillation or ventricular tachycardia cardiac arrest patients who arrive at hospital with a pulse.	94.0% 91.8% 12.5% 18.8% 46.9%	94% 92% 15% 20%	93% 91% 18% 22%

						jor Services					
					via						
	_	Actual FY 2014	_	Budget FY 2015	_	Estimated FY 2015	_	FY 2016	_	Budget FY 2016	2015-2016 %Change
Service #1: Admin	ist	ration									
Provide administrat	ive	support to the	ne d	department.							
Agency Revenues	\$	41,345	\$	313,866	\$	313,866	\$	320,143	\$	320,143	1.96%
Expenditures		1,434,617		1,649,292		1,649,124		1,974,422		1,974,422	16.48%
Difference	\$	(1,393,272)	\$	(1,335,426)	\$	(1,335,258)	\$	(1,654,279)	\$	(1,654,279)	19.28%
FTE Positions		8.13		8.13		8.13		8.13		8.13	0.00%
Service #2: Opera	tio	ns									
Provide paramedic	lev	el EMS serv	ices	s to the Johns	on	County comn	nun	ity including a	ımk	oulance transpo	ort services.
Agency Revenues	\$	7,221,777	\$	6,920,000	\$	7,159,251	\$	7,303,176	\$	7,303,176	1.97%
Expenditures		12,712,649		13,406,825		13,436,349		13,858,662		13,858,662	3.05%
Difference	\$		_	(6,486,825)	_		_		_		4.25%
FTE Positions		121.90		121.90		121.90		118.90		118.90	-2.52%
Service #3: Educa Provide medical co fire agencies.			ion	to the departr	me	nt and to the f	first	t response per	rso	nnel in the Joh	nson County
A D	Φ	0.550	Φ	4.000	Φ	4.000	Φ	4.000	Φ	4.000	4.000/
Agency Revenues	Ъ	2,556	Þ	1,600		1,600		1,632		1,632 741,143	1.96%
Expenditures	φ-	701,497	φ-	768,659	_	714,281	_	741,143	_		3.62%
Difference FTE Positions	\$	(698,941) 6.00	Ф	(767,059)	Ф	(712,681)	Ф	(739,511)	Ф	(739,511) 6.00	3.63%
FIE Positions		6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00		6.00	0.00%
Service #4: Suppo	ort :	Services									
Provide medical emaintenance for the	•	•	su	pplies to all	E	MS agencies	in	Johnson Co	oui	nty and overs	ee the fleet
Agency Revenues	\$	58,665	\$	37,000	\$	37,000	\$	37,000	\$	37,000	0.00%
Expenditures	_	1,813,608	_	1,819,416	_	1,815,292	_	1,944,159	_	1,944,159	6.63%
Difference	\$	(1,754,943)	\$	(1,782,416)	\$	(1,778,292)	\$	(1,907,159)	\$	(1,907,159)	6.76%
FTE Positions		3.50		3.50		3.50		3.50		3.50	0.00%

Requests for Additional Resources Requested FY 2016 Budget Requested FY 2017 Projected FY 2017 Request #1: Ambulance Replacement Priority: 1 Major Service: Operations This request would continue increased funding of our ambulance replacement schedule to achieve a desired 7 to 8 year useful life factor. We are asking to increase this contribution by an additional \$202,399. We have a partnership agreement with the City of Overland Park to fund their purchase of ambulances on a 50% share. They purchase 1 replacement ambulance each year for 5 out of 6 years a schieving a 6 year useful life. They will not purchase an ambulance in 2016. They only have active duty ambulances. Med-Act provides all the reserve ambulances each year for 5 out of 6 years a schieving a 6 year useful file. They will not purchase each year for 5 out of 6 years a schieving a 6 year useful file. They will not purchase an ambulance and also contribute required funds to Overland Park for their purchase of ambulances. This fund is also used to purchase other vehicles for Med-Act use including SUVs for Operation Chiefs and first response efforts and minivans for supply delivery and educational staff to use. This funding request has been included in the FY 2016 budget. Agency Revenues \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 20.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 202.389 500 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200			-		d-Act				
This request would continue increased funding of our ambulance replacement schedule to achieve a desired 7 to 8 year useful life factor. We are asking to increase this contribution by an additional \$202,389. We have a partnership agreement with the City of Overland Park to fund their purchase of ambulances on a 50% share. They purchase 1 replacement ambulance each year for 5 out of 6 years achieving a 6 year useful life. They will not purchase an ambulance in 2016. They only have active duty ambulances. Med-Act provides all the reserve ambulances. The requested total of \$665,549 per year would be used to alternately buy 2 and 3 ambulances each year and also contribute required funds to Overland Park for their purchase of ambulances. This fund is also used to purchase other vehicles for Med-Act use including SUVs for Operation Chiefs and first response efforts and minivans for supply delivery and educational staff to use. This funding request has been included in the FY 2016 budget. Agency Revenues \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$			Requested	or Ad	Budget	rces	Requested		
to 8 year useful life factor. We are asking to increase this contribution by an additional \$202,389. We have a partnership agreement with the City of Overland Park to fund their purchase of ambulances on a 50% share. They purchase 1 replacement ambulance each year for 5 out of 6 years achieving a 6 year useful life. They wi not purchase an ambulance in 2016. They only have active duty ambulances. Med-Act provides all the reserve ambulances. The requested total of \$665,549 per year would be used to alternately buy 2 and 3 ambulances each year and also contribute required funds to Overland Park for their purchase of ambulances. This fund is also used to purchase other vehicles for Med-Act use including SUVs for Operation Chiefs and first response efforts and minivans for supply delivery and educational staff to use. This funding request has been included in the FY 2016 budget. Agency Revenues \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ Expenditures \$ 202,389	Request #1: Ambulance F	Replacem	ent		Priority:	1	Major Service:		Operations
Expenditures 202,389 202,389 202,389 202,389 202,389 (202,389) \$ (202,389) \$ (202,389) \$ (202,389) \$	to 8 year useful life factor. partnership agreement wit They purchase 1 replacem not purchase an ambulances. The ambulances each year an This fund is also used to prist response efforts and reserves.	We are a th the City nent amble te in 2016 requeste d also con ourchase o minivans	sking to incre of Overland I ulance each y b. They only d total of \$66 htribute requir other vehicles for supply deli	ease the ark to ear for ave a 5,549 ed fur for M	nis contribution of fund their pure of 5 out of 6 year active duty amb per year would not to Overland ed-Act use include.	oy and chase so accommendate s	n additional \$202 se of ambulances chieving a 6 year sces. Med-Act pr used to alternately k for their purcha g SUVs for Opera	,389 on use ovid y bu se o	9. We have a a 50% share. Iful life. They willes all the lay 2 and 3 of ambulances. The chiefs and
Difference \$ (202,389) \$ (202,389) \$ (202,389) \$ (202,389)	•	\$		\$		\$		\$	
	•	\$		<u> </u>		\$		<u> </u>	
	ull-time Equivalent Positio	ons	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.0

Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Title: Advanced Communications Year Placed: 2016

Description: This is an on-going capital replacement project that provides a variety of essential communication needs for EMS operations. This project supports the department's mobile data computer systems that provide emergency call information, mapping, and digital status updates (voiceless communication) and an electronic patient care reporting system that includes a software application and ruggedized laptop. It also provides for the storage, management and workflow for the department's protected health information. This project has been placed in the FY 2016 Budget.

Capital Expenditures		FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	Project Total
Preliminary Studies	-\$	\$	\$	\$	 \$	\$	
Design and Construction	\$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	582,000
Equipment	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Total	\$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	116,400 \$	582,000

Operating Expenditures	 Total FTE	FY 2016	FY 20176	FY 2018	FY 2019
Personnel	 	· ·			
Contractual	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	
Commodities	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	
Capital	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	
On-going Total	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	
Start Up	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	
TOTAL	\$ \$	\$	\$	\$	

Title: **Relocate OPFD Station 5** Year Placed: 2016

Description: Johnson County has a 20 year partnership agreement with the City of Overland Park for the provision of paramedic level ambulance services in Overland Park. Med-Act and Overland Park Fire (OPFD) jointly provide these services under the agreement. Overland Park provides the housing for the ambulances used in these services. The City has identified a need to replace the existing station #5 located at 159th Street and Metcalf Avenue with a larger more strategically located station at 159th and Antioch. The construction is scheduled for 2016. The City has asked the County to contribute \$344,298 to this project. All ongoing expenses including utilities and building maintenance will be paid by the City. This project has been placed in FY 2016.

	FY 2016		FY 2017	_	FY 2018	_	FY 2019	FY 2020	_	Project Total
\$		\$		\$	9	5	\$		\$	
\$	344,298	\$:	\$	9	\$	\$		\$	344,298
\$		\$;	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$	
\$	344,298	\$;	\$	9	\$	\$		\$	344,298
	Total FTE		FY 2016	_	FY 2017	_	FY 2018	FY 2019	_	
φ		Φ		ተ	d	•	¢			
Ф		Ф		Ф		Þ	\$			
\$		\$,	\$	3	5	\$			
\$		\$		\$	9	5	\$			
\$		\$:	\$	9	5	\$			
\$		\$;	\$	\$	\$	\$			
\$		\$		\$	9	\$	\$			
	\$\$\$\$	\$ 344,298 \$ 344,298 Total FTE \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 344,298 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Title: **Station Expansion** Year Placed: N/A

Description: This project request provides the station, staff, and associated resources necessary for Med-Act to handle the anticipated future growth needs of the County in terms of increased service demands and the effective provision of medical care and transport services to adequately meet those demands. Currently no location for this new station has been identified as this is being driven off projected future County needs. This project has been requested for FY 2017, but is not currently a part of the 5-year CIP.

Capital Expenditures	 Year 1	Year 2	 Year 3	_	Year 4		Year 5	_	Project Tota
Land Acquisition	\$ 500,000 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	500,000
Design/Consulting	\$ 100,125 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	100,125
Capital Expenditures	\$ 276,000 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	276,000
Construction	\$ 667,500 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	667,500
Equipment	\$ 4,600 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	4,600
Total	\$ 1,548,225 \$		\$	\$		\$		\$	1,548,225
Operating Expenditures	Total FTE	Year 1	Year 2		Year 3				
Personnel	7.00 \$	687,900	\$ 715,416	\$	744,033	\$			
Contractual	\$ \$	1,000	\$ 1,500	\$	1,500	\$			
Commodities	\$ \$	3,600	\$ 11,100	\$	11,100	\$			
Capital	\$ \$		\$ 34,284	\$	34,284	\$			
On-going Total	\$ \$	692,500	\$ 762,300	\$	790,917	\$			
	\$ \$	692,500	762,300	_	790,917	•			